

THE CAMPUS

Undergraduate Newspaper of the City College Since 1907

Vol. 120—No. 7

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1967

232

Supported by Student Fees

Student Council to Vote On May NSA Referendum



LEGISLATORS: Jeff Zuckerman (left) and Cliff Tisser are the authors of proposals which Council will consider tomorrow night.

By Henry Frisch

Student Council tomorrow night will consider holding a referendum on whether to continue membership in the National Student Association.

Councilman Jeff Zuckerman '69,

sponsor of the motion, said that one of the purposes of the proposed referendum was to provide an opportunity for discussion of the controversial organization.

"Recent revelations concerning involvement of the Central Intelligence Agency with the NSA have opened a Pandora's Box of issues that should be carefully looked into and debated," he said.

"The only way to achieve such campus-wide debate is through a referendum."

If approved by Council, the referendum will be placed on the May ballot.

Council is also slated to consider a motion by SG Executive Vice President Cliff Tisser '67 to suspend publication of *Observation*.

Mistaken Reaction: Audience's Silence Marks Poet Orlovsky

By Stuart Freedman

A "dead" soldier had just been carried out of Aronow Auditorium Friday night when Peter Orlovsky, beat poet, rose and berated the audience with a flood of obscenities and stormed out of the room.

But the unscheduled outburst was not the only drama at the "Poets for Peace" recital, where the moods of both audience and performers united in opposition to the war in Vietnam.

Unrhyming poems, a skit and a flashing series of photographs and lights on three screens helped express the artists' hatred of war and love of peace.

The packed house looked with uneasy excitement at the screen show, which included flashes of war scenes, a baby leaning over his mother's dead body and Picasso's "Guernica," a painting representing the chaos of war.

The poems read were equally unconventional.

One equated the United States

(Continued on Page 3)

NEW BHE PLAN WILL ATTRACT FAMOUS PROFS

By Ralph Levinson

The Board of Higher Education last night approved a campaign to attract a number of "extraordinarily distinguished professors in the sciences, social sciences and humanities" to the City University.

Under the plan, recommended by University Chancellor Albert Bowker, the University would establish a number of "named chairs."

Professors holding these chairs would receive from \$4,000 to \$5,000 above the top professorial salary of \$22,000 per year. The chairs are for both the graduate school and the senior colleges.

The Board will create a joint committee of faculty and alumni which will seek gifts and grants to fund the new program.

According to Dr. Bowker, the endowment of each chair will cost at least \$100,000, which with interest, would enable the increase over a professors normal annual salary.

Besides attracting noted scholars to the University, the chairs will be used "to furnish striking recognition for those professors... who have distinguished themselves as truly great classroom teachers," Dr. Bowker said.

Post because of "financial mismanagement" last semester.

Tisser two weeks ago asked for investigations of charges that *OP* had failed to collect \$1500 from advertisers, although it was \$300 in the red.

Council will also hold elections tomorrow night for this term's Fee Commission.

Gallagher Says 'Campus' Practices 'Yellow Journalism'

President Gallagher has accused *The Campus* of descending "to a level of yellow journalism which is indefensible."

In a letter to the editor, he attacked the paper for publishing stories about the Middle States Association's evaluation of the College and the College's plans to apply for a foundation grant.

Dr. Gallagher had requested that the publication of both stories be delayed.

He charged that in printing the stories, the paper "deliberately wished to work against the best interests both of the College and of its students with full foreknowledge that is what you were doing."

"I ask that you direct your entire editorial board and staff of reporters and writers not to call me on the telephone, at home or at the office, for any reason, until further notice," he wrote.

Copies of the letter were also sent to the College's deans and department chairmen.

The President maintained that a *Campus* article on the College's plans to apply for a \$60,000 grant from the Esso Foundation was responsible for the loss of the grant.

"You have cost the students of the City College \$60,000," he said in the letter.

An official of the Esso Foundation Friday supported the President's contention.

Dr. Frederick Bolman, director

(Continued on Page 3)

Administration Is Critical of 'OP'

By Eric Blitz

The College's administration indicated Thursday that *Observation Post* could be sued for libel for statements made about President Gallagher in last Tuesday's issue.

During a three hour meeting on the paper's responsibilities, the College's Public Relations Director, I. E. Levine, told *OP*'s editor that the paper would be liable to such action if it were a metropolitan paper.

Mr. Levine last night refused to comment on whether President Gallagher contemplated a suit.

In its last issue, *OP* reported that President Gallagher was connected to the Central Intelligence Agency as a trustee of an alleged

(Continued on Page 3)

Congressional Study Upholds 2-S Status

By Neil Offen

A Congressional Study Commission on the draft, in direct opposition to a Presidential panel, recommended yesterday the continuance of student deferments, except for graduate students in noncritical fields.

The Presidential panel had earlier been reported by *The New York Times* to be in favor of ending all student deferments.

The Congressional Commission, appointed by the House Armed Services Committee, said in a summary of its recommendations that all students at institutions of higher learning be deferred so long as they remain in good standing, "until either receipt of their undergraduate degree, or the attainment of age 24, whichever occurs earlier."

This is essentially an endorsement of the present deferment system.

But in a departure from the existing law, the panel added that a registrant once deferred as a student would forfeit any chance, except for extreme hardship, of further deferments on other grounds.

In line with the panel's recommendation to draft younger men rather than older men first, deferred students, after receiving their degree or attaining the age of 24 would then "revert to the I-A pool for induction on the same basis as their younger contemporaries then in the 19-20 age group."

2 Newspapers Undergo Study By Committee

The College's two major newspapers, relatively unchanged since the advent of *Observation Post* in 1947, are the target of several suggestions for radical revision this year.

Since December the student-faculty committee on Liberal Arts and Sciences has been studying the operation of the newspapers at the College.

The student chairman of the committee, Student Government Educational Affairs Vice President Joe Korn '68, said, "Most people agree that the present newspaper situation at the College is far from ideal. We will try to come out with a recommendation aimed at solving the many faults."

Deficiencies

Korn cited the "lack of a daily newspaper on the campus" and the "proliferation of papers that are on the whole not doing an adequate job" as deficiencies in the current newspaper scene.

The College now has six newspapers—all funded by student fees.

The committee is resorting to three basic sources in formulating its recommendations: the study of newspapers at other colleges, suggestions of students and faculty here, and previous reports on the College's newspapers.

Another member of the committee, Councilman Janis Gade '68, is looking into the possibility of giving course credit or financial remuneration to newspaper editors.

End Subsidy

In another development, Councilmen Steve Flier '70 and Jay Brodsky '67 will propose tomorrow night to Council that SG "put an end to student subsidy of the newspapers."

Instead, the newspapers would finance themselves by charging money for copies, according to Flier.

"Papers can't have value unless students are willing to pay for them," Flier said. "If the papers had to be sold they would of necessity be of better quality."

Debate on the motion, which is not expected to be passed by Council, will be postponed until next week.

—Frisch



ANTAGONISTS: Noe Goldwasser (above) and I. E. Levine disagreed over *OP* news coverage.

THE CAMPUS

Vol. 120—No. 7

Supported by Student Fees

ALICE KOTTEK '67
Editor-in-Chief

Phone: FO 8-7426

FACULTY ADVISOR: Mr. Jerome Gold

Editorial Policy Is Determined by a Majority Vote of the Managing Board

For the Record

Last year, a *New York Times* reporter discovered that a top official of the Ku Klux Klan was born of Jewish parents and had received a Jewish upbringing. Upon learning that his identity was about to be revealed, Daniel Burros threatened the life of the *Times* reporter, maintaining that such an expose would ruin his career with the Klan. *Times* editors, after careful consideration, decided to go ahead and publish the story because of its social significance. After it was printed, Burros shot himself to death.

Several years earlier, *The Times* had learned the complete details of a United States invasion of Cuba. In this case, however, the editors, at the request of Government officials, agreed not to publish the story. The Bay of Pigs invasion was a total fiasco. *Times* Managing Editor Clifton Daniel recalled last year President Kennedy later saying to a member of the editorial board that if *The Times* had only printed the story, a national disaster could have been avoided.

Today at the College, President Gallagher will refuse to talk to a *Campus* reporter because, he believes, we practice "yellow journalism" and we intentionally work against the interests of the student body. His charges are based on the publication by *Campus* of one story on the College's attempts to seek a \$60,000 grant and another on the College's evaluation by the Middle States Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools.

The incidents of the two *New York Times* stories demonstrate that newspapers are often forced to make decisions where one value must outweigh another. They are not easy decisions to make. And often, as the *Times* incidents indicate, a decision is made which, in retrospect, might have been different. This is the case with the *Campus* story on the \$60,000 grant. Had we been sure at that time that simply publishing a story usually nullifies efforts to obtain grants, the story would not have appeared in *The Campus*.

However, there was no sufficient reason not to print the story on the Middle States report. The report was marked "Not for Release or Publication." But according to journalistic ethics, sources, in releasing a report, void that prohibition.

Thus, we were left with President Gallagher's assertion, presented to the editor over the telephone on the day before the story was to appear, that publication of the report's findings at that time would "embarrass" him in front of Board of Higher Education members. His pleas, however, were overwhelmingly overridden by considerations in favor of printing the story.

The *Campus* published the story on the Middle States report to benefit, not harm, the students and faculty at this college. There was no reason to wait ten days, or any length of time, to print the story. As a newspaper, it is our duty to inform students and faculty about the opinions of the College's accrediting agency, as soon as possible. And unlike the story on the \$60,000 grant, the publication of this story did not cause the academic community here any harm.

President Gallagher's charges against *The Campus*, and indications of Administration discontent with *Observation Post* expressed in a lengthy meeting Thursday, have brought to light once more this question: What is the role of the newspapers on this campus? It is not, as President Gallagher implies in his letter, to take direction from the Administration on which stories are printable and which are not. It is, instead, to present to the academic community those stories which are worthy of their attention.

President Gallagher's charges, in a letter sent to the College's deans and department chairmen appear to be an attempt to impair this newspaper's proper function. He is, in effect, telling the officials and chairmen that they are to follow suit and refuse to cooperate with a newspaper which he alleges practices yellow journalism and publishes stories with the intent of hurting the study body. His charges are unfounded and untrue.

The job of the administrator and the job of the journalist are similar in many respects. Their jobs require the ability to make quick decisions and to act reasonably under pressure. But although their work is similar in these respects, there are times, as President Gallagher and *The Campus* have learned, when the objectives of the two professions conflict. The way to resolve such a conflict, particularly in this case, is through a willingness to admit, in retrospect, that both parties might have erred by making too hasty a decision—in printing a story or in vindictive reaction to its publication. This willingness must be the product of mutual respect—the administrator for the journalist and the journalist for the administrator.

Letters to the Editor

J'Accuse

To the Editor:

For the second time within a fortnight, you and the editorial board of *Campus* have elected to ignore the basic requirements of professional responsibility as newsmen.

In the first instance, as you know, you broke privacy with reference to a contemplated application to a foundation which you named, for aid in support of a useful and promising student venture. You knew, in advance of rushing into print, that your story might endanger the application, since no foundation looks with favor upon publicity regarding an application which is pending — let alone one which has not even been received. I am now informed by the named foundation that because of advance publicity they will not entertain our contemplated application. You have cost the students of the City College \$60,000.

In the second instance, you obtained and made excerpts from a

copy of the Middle States Report on accreditation. The Report was marked, "Not For Publication." It was to have been released within the next ten days. I explained to you through Miss Gutfreund, your reporter, and again to you directly that to break the publication date would be a cause of serious embarrassment to me personally and to the college. You nevertheless asserted that you would go ahead with the publication.

In both instances, you acted knowingly and intentionally, with foreknowledge that your action would have clear and certain negative consequences for the students and the college. In both instances, you knew that the story would be just as much news and just as good a story if you observed the simple and basic notions of professional courtesy. In both instances, you chose to rush into print. The only justification that can possibly be advanced is that you deliberately wish to work against the best interests both of the college and of its students,

with full foreknowledge that this is what you are doing.

I do not comment on the contents of the article in today's *Campus* on the Middle States Report, other than to say that the alleged quotations ascribed are taken out of context, and within context do not mean what you say they mean. In this respect *Campus* has now descended to a level of yellow journalism which is indefensible. I add only one point, the evident half-truth in the story which says that I refused to comment on the report. The whole truth is not only that I refused to comment for publication at this time, but also that I clearly and unequivocally told you why — and you failed even to have the courtesy to print that reason, thus making it appear that I am unready to comment on the report at all.

In our conversations preceding the publication of today's *Campus* you repeatedly said that you were "sorry" that what you were about

(Continued on Page 3)

The Air Force doesn't want to waste your college education any more than you do.

Are you afraid of becoming part of the woodwork on a job? Your career stunted by boredom? Few promotions in sight?

You didn't go to college for that. And it needn't happen, either. Because you can pick the United States Air Force as your employer. Career opportunities are so vast... you'll get a better chance to specialize where you want... in the forefront of modern science and technology.

Suppose, just for example, you wanted to be involved in Electronics. This area alone includes Communications, Electronics, Missile Electronics, Avionics, and others. And these, in turn, involve administrative, research, and other technical aspects.


That's just a tiny part of the whole Air Force picture. Just one brilliant opportunity area among many.

You'll enjoy good pay, promotions, chance to travel, active social life, fine retirement benefits. And you'll be serving your country, too.

Or maybe you want to fly? That's great. The Air Force is certainly the place to do it.

As a college graduate you want something extra out of life—to aim at an exciting goal. So send in this coupon.

Make sure you don't get stuck where nothing much is happening.



UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
Box A, Dept. SCP-72
Randolph Air Force Base, Texas 78148

Name _____ (please print)
College _____ Class _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ ZIP _____

Remember the time, Bernie, when you held the edit in your hand and . . .

Come back and do it again — soon,

THE CAMPUS

Poetry Recital

(Continued from Page 1) government to Nazi Germany, while another, showing the lack of existence of human qualities in the computerized society, drew laughter by confusing social security numbers, draft card numbers and other digital abbreviations.

When the skit ended with the removal of the soldier's body from

the auditorium, the audience remained silent.

No one seems to know what brought on Orlovsky's outburst, but he was said to mistakenly interpret the silence as an indication of the audience's dislike for the play.

He left before his scheduled poetry reading.

'Campus' Is Accused of 'Yellow Journalism'

(Continued from Page 1) of Special Programs, said, "It is very embarrassing to a foundation for an application to be publicized before they make up their minds."

Dr. Bolman said that he received a copy of the issue of *The Campus* containing the story on the grant application, but had "no idea" who had sent it to him.

Alice Kottek '67, editor-in-chief of *The Campus*, said Sunday that "in retrospect" she regretted having published the story, which outlined plans to seek money for the Course and Teacher Evaluation program and the Committee of 17 on campus democracy.

While vehemently denying the charge that *The Campus* was deliberately working against the in-

terests of the students, she said that "at the time, we felt that, in view of past criticism it was important for them to know that the Administration supported the Committee of 17 to such an extent that they would seek a \$60,000 grant.

"As for Course and Teacher evaluation," she added, "many students felt that it was so poorly done that Student Government and the Administration shouldn't have been spending \$10,000 on it each year. Now, they should know that other funding methods were being sought."

However, Miss Kottek said that the publication of the story on the Middle States Association was "perfectly justified."

Commenting on President Gallagher's assertion in the letter that the report would have been "released within the next ten days," and that *The Campus*, in "breaking the publication date," caused "serious embarrassment to me personally and to the College," Miss Kottek said:

"It was a case where one value had to outweigh the other. We didn't want to embarrass him, but we felt the academic community should know as soon as possible when the school is criticized and what the nature of the criticism is."

"Originally President Gallagher had told an editor that the report would not be released until commencement, and then only maybe," she added.

President Gallagher made the charges on yellow journalism in reference to the reliability of the reporting on the Middle States story.

Miss Kottek said that the selection of quotes from the report was "fair and representative."

Sunday the President refused to elaborate on his charges.

"I'll not talk to anyone from *Campus* on the telephone," he said before hanging up. "You have a letter from me to that effect."

Letter

(Continued from Page 2) to do was improper or that it would repeat the improper publication of the contemplated foundation application — for which you also said you were "sorry." It is fair to inquire why you deliberately decided to do the thing for which you admitted that you had to apologize in advance.

I ask that you direct your entire editorial board and staff of reporters and writers not to call me on the telephone, at home or at the office, for any reason, until further notice.

Buell G. Gallagher,
President.

'OP'

(Continued from Page 1) CIA front and as the director of the World University Service, which had received funds from an alleged CIA conduit.


Mr. Levine reportedly told Noe Goldwasser '68, editor-in-chief of *OP*, that the paper was vulnerable for its editorial charge that as WUS director, President Gallagher "spent the CIA's money throughout the world".

Goldwasser stated that the printing of a statement by Youth Against War and Fascism was also cited as libelous.

After a one hour editorial board meeting last night Goldwasser informed Mr. Levine that *OP* would not "retract or clarify any statements made in the issue unless Dr. Gallagher himself voices an

objection."

What you do on March 3. may affect the rest of your life!



That's when the IBM interviewer will be on campus. When he'd like to talk with you—whatever your area of study, whatever your plans after graduation.

You'll find job opportunities at IBM in six major areas: Computer Applications, Programming, Finance and Administration, Research

and Development, Manufacturing and Marketing.

Some of these areas may not mean much to you—now. But just let the IBM interviewer explain a few of them. One may be just the career you're looking for. It could be the start of something big—your future with IBM.

IBM

Whatever your immediate commitments, whatever your area of study, sign up for your on-campus interview with IBM, now.

If, for some reason, you aren't able to arrange an interview, drop us a line. Write to: Manager of College Recruiting, IBM Corporation, 590 Madison Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10022. IBM is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

The Games Where CUNY Meant City



Photo by Woodard

THE WINNER: John Clifton (l.), about to capitalize on a fast-break in Saturday's triumphant final at CUNY games in Queens. Pete Klein is lone Hawk defender.

By Neil Offen

They brought down the curtain on a season and on eight varsity careers Saturday night, and it brought down the house.

The Beaver basketball team closed out its 13-6 season, its best since the double-championship club of 1949-50, by routing Hunter, 85-62, to win the City University Tournament with one of its finest performances in recent years.

Coach Dave Polansky—and undoubtedly all his players, too—had hoped that impressive victories in the tournament would enable them to receive an at-large bid to the NCAA College Division Championship Tournament.

However, *The Campus* learned yesterday, from the chairman of the tournament selection committee, Dr. Edward Steitz, that the Beavers are ineligible for a bid.

"They [the Beavers] are members of the Tri-State League, whose champion automatically goes to the tournament," Dr. Steitz explained. "Thus no other team in that league can receive a bid, any kind of bid, to our tournament."

But the Beavers apparently did not know this Saturday night.

As over 2000 fans—heavily partisan to the Beavers—watched in Queens College's Fitzgerald Gym, the Lavender, who had beaten Queens 76-50, the night before to gain the final round, put on a phenomenal first-half display.

After two and half minutes of the half, the score was 8-1 Beavers; after ten minutes, 25-10; after twenty minutes, 44-20.

In that half, the Beavers shot over 70% from the floor (20 of 32); they outrebounded the Hawks by almost three to one; out of the first 14 times they got the ball, they scored 13 times. The Beavers during that first half were a perfect ball-club. Or close enough not to quibble.

The second half was all fun and games. Play the starters a little. Send in the subs. Keep scoring, scoring. Enjoy, enjoy. It was a laugh, and all the substitutes were able to laugh along.

As Coach Polansky one by one removed his starters—particularly his senior starters—from the contest, they each received standing ovations from the crowd. Mike Pearl, of course, received the biggest ovation.

When the little backcourt star was removed from the contest, he left in a blaze of glory: he was the game's high scorer with 23 points, and that total made him the Beaver's fifth highest all-time point maker.

Pearl was also high scorer, with 16 points, in Friday night's opening round encounter with the Knights of Queens.

The game progressed in opposite fashion to the Hunter contest, but still provided the same happy ending for the Beavers.

Although Pearl scored the first four points in the game, the Lavender, due mainly to the rebounding and scoring efforts of Queens' center Larry Zolot, was unable to get untracked in the early going.

Midway in the first half, they were down by four points, 17-13, but when Zolot was forced to the bench with an accumulation of fouls, the Beavers began to roll.

Led by Richie Knel, who connected on the first seven shots he took, they went on a 14-2 tear, and the Knights began to contemplate the consolation round.

The Beavers led by sixteen at the half, opened the second half with four straight points—again by Pearl—and the rest of the way was devoted to improving personal statistics.

In retaining their City University crown, the Lavender again took possession of the Wooden Shoe, emblematic of the championship.

For this edition of Beavers, the shoe fits.

Schlesinger Wins Met 1st

By Danny Kornstein

College students are often under pressure to accomplish the most in the least amount of time. Senior Don Schlesinger took a few seconds out from studying Friday night and made College track history: he won the first gold medal ever for the Lavender in the Metropolitan Intercollegiate Indoor Championships.

Running in the 60-yard dash, Schlesinger upset the two favorites, George Casale of St. John's and Fred Douglas of Fordham, in a photo-finish. The Beaver sprinter was clocked in 6.5 seconds.

Schlesinger's time was only a tenth of a second off the 102nd Engineers Armory floor record, posted by Fordham's star Sam Perry this winter. Previously, Schlesinger had won the Metropolitan Amateur Athletic Union junior championship at 60 yards and placed fourth in the senior version.

Coach Francisco Castro's best sprinter got off to a mediocre start, something unusual for Schlesinger. The thin senior started closing in on the other two at just about 50 yards. Casale pulled up with a muscle strain and hopped over the finish line on one foot. Douglas succumbed in more conventional fashion.

While Manhattan and St. John's battled it out for the title (the Jaspers eventually won 55-49½), the Beavers had to settle for sixth place in the ten-team field.

The College runners beat Seton Hall, who was without the services of the graduated Germann twins, along with Iona, Queens and Fairleigh Dickinson. Only a week before, Queens had outpointed the Lavender by a substantial margin in the City University championships.

Aside from Schlesinger's five points in the meet, Sophomore Walton Wilson picked up a half-point for tying for fifth in the high jump, just behind Manhattan's Del Benjamin. Wilson cleared 5'10".

Distance man Jimmy O'Connell could do no better than sixth in the two-mile event, with a time of 9:36 over the 220-yard flat-board track. He has done much better but a recurring back pain has been slowing him down.

The Word for Lacrosse is Tentative

By Joel Wachs

Though the offense rolled up eight tallies, the College lacrosse team succumbed to the University of Pennsylvania Saturday in the first scrimmage of their exhibition schedule. An ineffective defense was mainly responsible for the 12-8 loss. The end of the basketball season signals the return of Pat Vallance and this should greatly bolster this weakpoint.

Attackman Georges Grinstein exhibited no weak points, picking up where he left off last season by grabbing two goals. Sharing the scoring honors were Steve Leiterstein and Abe Ruda, both with two. Bobby Amato and Richie Ravener each contributed one. The second midfield line of Co-Captain Freddy Bernstein, Joe Rizza and Amato was especially strong.

Two returning stars were also impressive. Vince Pandoliano, who last year broke the College's point record for one season had two assists. Bernie Halper played well, including an exciting save of a one-on-one attack, in a busy day of minding the nets.

City-Wide Dance

For the Benefit of
LEUKEMIA SOCIETY
March 3, 1967
COLUMBIA UNIV.
B'way & 116th St.
Tickets Avail. 340F

ENGINEERING OPPORTUNITIES

for seniors in Civil, Electrical,
and Mechanical Engineering

CAMPUS INTERVIEWS

WED., MARCH 1

Appointments should be made
in advance through your
College Placement Office

PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD

Portsmouth, New Hampshire

(An Equal Opportunity Employer)

Positions are in the Career Civil Service

Gym Shorts

For a blooming team with high hopes for the future, losing every meet is but a trifle, judging from the Lavender gymnasts' elation over their first season.

Saturday's 93-91 loss to LIU was the closest meet of their five-game schedule, and featured encouraging performances by Pete Kokajew on the horse, Mike Fishman on the rings and virtuoso Steve Horlitz in three events. With a few more men, next year should be real swinging. —Balin

Folk Concert

appearing IN PERSON

AL SILVERMAN

Sings Songs made famous by Broadside Mag.

Thursday, March 2nd

TIME 12-2 P.M.

At THE CITY COLLEGE STORE

Vol. 120-

Kornstein
For

Student
Joe Kornstein
year's SG

The ni
early anno
lining an a

He said
work for:

- a daily
- "semir

- iveness" fo
- "bette

Raising mo
plained, we
than a fee

- "an un

either unde
curriculum
of SG.

As educa
dent, Korn
for the deve
programs t

Probably
securing th

(Cont

Colle

Teac

By I

By F

The Co
sible loss
professor:
cent revi
sabbatica

in a boo
leave.

Under a
summer, a
leave for a
full pay, w
of his salar
a substitut

Thus, ma
eligible for
years at the
term of lea

Several
have expre
number of
on leave.

Prof. Tho
Political Sc
be very d
those askin
professors
quality."

At least
department
said he co
than two l

Prof. Irv
man, Speec
of several t
field of

make the
areas in th
sible."

Four out
fessors in t
ment have
according t

Joseph Bar
Before th
he said, "v
batical ever

Prof. Edn
(Cont