

THE CAMPUS

Undergraduate Newspaper of the City College Since 1907

VOL. 98—No. 16

TUESDAY, APRIL 17, 1956

401

Supported by Student Fees

Gallagher Rejects Suspension Appeal; 5 'Campus' Editors Out for Semester

An Editorial:

*"O, it is excellent
To have a giant's strength; but
it is tyrannous
To use it like a giant."*

—Shakespeare

(Quoted by President Buell G. Gallagher in his Biennial Report last year.)

Pres. Buell G. Gallagher has acted. His decision to uphold the verdict made by the Dean of Students, Daniel F. Brophy, is the most heartless action ever taken by the President.

The five editors involved, in appealing to the President, were asking for compassion, compassion which the President lacked in this case, by not recognizing the extent of the punishment (he says: "It is true that suspension for the remainder of the term will be a serious inconvenience for at least four of the five."). They offered no defense of their action; nor did they deny that Dean Brophy had the right to do what he did. But they do feel, as we do, that the punishment was too severe and that with at least two of the suspended editors there were personal circumstances that made the punishment all the more harsh.

The President, however, concerned himself not with the appeal from a term's suspension, but with an appeal from expulsion. President Gallagher is of the opinion, perhaps rightly so, that Dean Brophy himself mitigated the punishment.

He says: "The action of the Dean of Students, after a week's waiting, in mitigating the punishment from permanent expulsion to a suspension for the remainder of the current term, takes into account extenuating arguments advanced by the students involved, the effect of expulsion, both upon them and their families, and their primary educative and humane purposes of a College—as distinguished from a court of justice. . . . The question I must answer is whether this mitigation of penalties is to be upheld as it

(Continued on Page 4)

Rep. Powell Blasts South In 'Freedom Week' Talk

By Jacob Olsen

"The time has come for the Democratic Party to divorce the South," declared Rep. Adam Clayton Powell Jr. (D-NY) yesterday before an audience of over one hundred in Goldmark Auditorium. Speaking on the topic "Academic Freedom and the South" as part of the Academic Freedom Week program, the congressman shared the platform with Herb Wright, Youth Secretary of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

Devoting his time to the problem of securing civil rights, Representative Powell stated "Let the south form a third-anti-civil rights party or let the north form an all-American third party based on freedom."

The congressman charged the Justice Department with "sabotaging the right of people in the south to vote by delaying action for three years. This year we will witness many Americans being denied the right to vote while John Foster Dulles calls for free elections in East Germany.

"The United States is judged today by the Afro-Asian peoples on one thing only—civil rights," he



Rep. Adam Clayton Powell, Jr. asked the Democratic Party "to divorce from the South."

added, announcing at the same time his possession of "definitive

(Continued on Page 6)

President Issues Thirteen Page Statement Upholding Decision by Dean Brophy; Editors Voice Several Objections

By Barbara Ziegler

Pres. Buell G. Gallagher yesterday upheld the suspensions of five CAMPUS editors.

The President made his decision after hearing a two-hour appeal Friday. In suspending the five editors for the remainder of the semester, Dr. Gallagher reaffirmed an earlier ruling by Dean Daniel F. Brophy (Student Life).

In a thirteen-page statement, Dr. Gallagher declared, "The so-called 'April Fool's' issue of The Campus displays not merely isolated instances but a pervasive pattern of lewdness, vulgarity, obscenity, lasciviousness, pornography and indecency as well as presumptive grounds for criminal libel prosecution. This quality of action has no place at the City College."

Moral Standards for Students

In addition, he stated, "Such action by a member of the faculty or staff would justify summary dismissal. Are the moral standards for students to be set at gutter level, in contrast to the standards prevailing throughout the community generally and applied specifically to teachers and administrators? I believe not."

According to Board of Higher



Pres. Buell G. Gallagher yesterday turned down the appeal by five student editors.

Education by-laws, there is no appeal beyond the President.

The five editors first learned of the term's suspension in a meeting with Dean Brophy on Thursday, during which they had assumed full responsibility for publication of the April Fool's issue.

Previously, on April 4, the Dean had suspended "indefinitely" seven editors of The Campus, in addition to the paper itself. Two of the editors were later reinstated, as was the publication.

The suspended students strongly disagreed with some of the points brought out by President Gallagher in his statement. In particular they pointed to the passage which declared, "Neither do any of the five object to the manner in which the Dean of Students has dealt with their offense."

"We have objected to the fact that we were not given any hearing until after we were suspended for the term," they said. "Our only hearing, in the form of an appeal before the President at which four Deans were present, was both our first and our last."

Another statement of Dr. Gallagher's to which the five editors objected dealt with the suspension of managing editor Sam Stein.

Editors Deny Error

"After an interval of one day," the passage read, "one of the seven suspendees established the fact that he was innocent of any connection with the April Fourth issue; and his suspension was lifted at once. . . . His colleagues were in error in saying that he had played a part in the April Fool's issue."

Denying that they had been "in error," the suspended editors explained that they had been asked to exclude only those who had taken no part in the issue. Stein, they said, had worked on the issue, although he had not been involved with the objectionable material in it.

"The error was not ours," said the editors, "but Dean Brophy's, because he failed to distinguish between responsibility for any part of the issue and responsibility for those specific sections which the Dean considered objectionable enough to merit suspension."

SFCSA to Consider Suspension

According to Dean James S. Peace (Student Life), the matter of the suspension of The Campus will be taken up on April 23 at a meeting of the Student Faculty Committee on Student Activities.

The topic was placed on the agenda as a result of a letter sent by The Campus to Dean Peace, non-voting chairman of SFCSA.

Stating that the act of suspending The Campus "represents the suspension of a publication supported by student fees to serve the student body," the letter requests SFCSA to determine whether "in the future any organization or publication on the campus shall be suspended because of the acts of several of the officers of the organization."

Board of Estimate Denies Athletic Funds for College

For the second consecutive year New York City's Board of Estimate has turned down the municipal colleges' request for 55 thousand dollars for additional athletic funds. Of the total amount requested, 20 thousand dollars was earmarked for the College.

Pres. Buell G. Gallagher was clearly disappointed by the Board's action and said that even though the second request has been turned down, it does not mean that the appropriation will not be asked for again.

The request for additional funds was initiated by Pres. Gallagher in last year's budget, but was turned down by the Board of Estimate. When questioned earlier in the year about the municipal colleges' athletic appropriation, the President indicated that the appropriation would be inserted every year until it was passed. The President reiterated this statement yesterday when he said that "we're going to keep trying until we get it."

Exec Receives Plan for Finley

A plan, indorsed by Day and Evening session Student Governments, for greater student control of the Finley Center was referred, last night, by the Board of Advisors to its executive committee.

The plan, which was embodied in a Statement of Policy, will be reported back to the Board of Advisors by May 17. The policy statement declared that "Any philosophy of educational student activities assumes that students are, and should be, capable of managing their own outside-class affairs."

(Continued on Page 6)

The President's Statement

April 16, 1956

I have before me an appeal by five suspended former members of the Managing Board of the City College undergraduate newspaper known as THE CAMPUS. It is an appeal from the decision of the Dean of Students who on April 11, 1956 fixed the term of suspension as the remainder of the current semester. On April 13, 1956, a full hearing on this appeal was held, three academic deans and the Dean of Administration sitting with me as a panel of advisors. Although the decision which I now give has the concurrence of the deans, it is nevertheless my own sole responsibility (§ 158 of the by-laws of the Board of Higher Education of the City of New York).

There is no ambiguity or dispute as to the facts in this case. The so-called "April Fools" issue of CAMPUS displays not merely isolated instances but a pervasive pattern of lewdness, vulgarity, obscenity, lasciviousness, pornography and indecency as well as presumptive grounds for criminal libel prosecution. This quality of action has no place at The City College. Even if it were not (as it is) explicitly forbidden by § 155 of the by-laws, it is repulsive to public decency and unbecoming any person who enjoys the privilege of identification with an institution of higher education. Such action by a member of the faculty or staff would justify summary dismissal. Are the moral standards for students to be set at gutter level, in contrast to the standards prevailing throughout the community generally and applied specifically to teachers and administrators? I believe not. On the merits of the offensive publication, considered alone, the offending persons should be permanently expelled from the College.

The action of the Dean of Students, after a week's waiting, in mitigating the punishment from permanent expulsion to a suspension for the remainder of the current term, takes into account extenuating arguments advanced by the students involved, the probable effect of expulsion both upon them and upon their families, and the primary educative and humane purposes of a College — as distinguished from a court of justice.

The question I must answer is whether this mitigation of penalties is to be upheld as it stands, or whether the penalties should either be increased to expulsion or be decreased to some lesser proportions.

There are certain other issues which are not involved as this appeal comes before me. There is here no question of freedom of the press or of academic freedom. Neither of these freedoms includes the right to publish materials which in form or content are repugnant to common decency. No one raises the question as to whether the materials as published are correctly so to be characterized. The acts in question fall outside the areas sanctioned by American notions of academic freedom and freedom of the press.

Neither is the question of due process involved in the matter here under appeal. The by-laws (§ 157) place upon the Dean of Students the responsibility to "carry into action the recommendations of a disciplinary com-

mittee." They go on to say: "He may also, in his discretion, take immediate action in cases of open violation of college regulations . . . He may reprimand verbally, or by publication, suspend for a period of time not exceeding the duration of the current term and deprive of specific college privileges and advantages . . ." There is no doubt as to whether the offending issue of THE CAMPUS constitutes an "open violation of college regulations." Nor is there any doubt that the offending students knew that the regulations provide (§ 155) that "Each student . . . and every . . . publication . . . shall obey all the rules and regulations and orders of the duly established college authorities, . . . shall conform to the requirements of good manners and good morals, and shall obey the laws of the City, State and Nation within college grounds and elsewhere." Neither is there any doubt whether these particular students were aware of the responsibility resting upon them as editors and members of the Managing Board of a free newspaper which rightly and jealously guards it right to be free from any form of censorship. All these matters were explicitly reviewed at the April 13th hearing, and all five appellants clearly admitted knowledge of these things.

Nor can it be contended that the position of the College with reference to smut, vulgarity, obscenity, lasciviousness and indecency has been ambiguous or unclear. THE CAMPUS, along with the other four undergraduate newspapers of The City College, has participated vigorously in a running debate extending over more than two years, concerned with exactly these issues of "good taste" as pertaining to two sister publications (MERCURY and OBSERVATION POST). Not once, nor merely on a few occasions, but repeatedly and with explicit emphasis, I have devoted the burden of the weekly press conference to these matters — often being urged to do so by the representatives of the student press, including THE CAMPUS. Within the memory of at least four of the five appellants, and during their presence as students on the campus, the Managing Board of CAMPUS felt themselves sorely aggrieved by statements published in OBSERVATION POST which called into question the truthfulness, integrity and political acumen of THE CAMPUS editors. The then Managing Board of THE CAMPUS seriously considered instituting a civil libel suit against the editors of OBSERVATION POST. Appellants were aware — and they readily admit that they were aware — of the fact that they were under responsibility to avoid indecency, vulgarity and obscenity; and they were also aware of the fact that laws of civil and criminal libel are relevant to the actions of editors of an undergraduate newspaper.

Appellants enter no defense. There is, indeed, none to be entered.

Neither do any of the five object to the manner in which the Dean of Students has dealt with their offense. On the afternoon of the publication day (April 4th) of the offending issue, all members of the Managing Board who could be found were brought together, asked to identify any persons connected with this is-

sue of THE CAMPUS, and given opportunity to sever from the Dean's action any of the eleven members of the Board who had no responsibility for this issue. Seven students were then identified by the Editor-in-Chief and his associates as having participated in some manner in the preparation and publication of the issue. The remaining four were immediately excused by the Dean. Pending examination and final decision as to disciplinary action, the seven thus identified were given an immediate suspension of indeterminate length. After an interval of one day, one of the seven suspendees established the fact that he was innocent of any connection with the April fourth issue; and his suspension was lifted at once. It would have been better if the word of the Editor-in-Chief and his various associates regarding the participation of this seventh student, Samuel Stein, had not been taken at face value on the afternoon of April fourth. His colleagues were in error in saying that he had played a part in the "April Fools" issue. The reinstatement of Mr. Stein was immediately effected; and with the resumption of the publication rights of THE CAMPUS on April twelfth, he has resumed his duties as Managing Editor. One more student, Morton Schwartz, originally included in the suspension because he and his colleagues on April fourth said he had indeed participated in the offending issue, was reinstated on April eleventh when he and the other five agreed that Mr. Schwartz had had no share in preparing the issue beyond checking on a single advertisement. While Mr. Schwartz and Mr. Stein may perhaps feel aggrieved, the former was present at the first appearance, and is responsible himself for remaining under the umbrella of guilt in the first place; and the one-day suspension of the latter was removed the moment that erroneous information was corrected. Mr. Stein is due an apology from the College for the damage done to his reputation. On behalf of the College, I publicly tender that apology. In this matter, he is innocent. Mr. Schwartz, on the other hand, is due no apology from anyone for his suspension of a little over a week; since he chose to associate himself for that period in the guilt of the other five.

Every possible effort has been made to assess the degree of involvement and individual responsibility of each of the five appellants. Repeatedly this question was raised, with the students themselves, both by the Dean of Students and by the President. After exhaustive questioning, I am convinced that appellants are correct in asserting that they all did the whole job together, and that it is impossible to dis sever any one one of them from any particular point of involvement or guilt. No mitigation of individual punishment is possible on the basis of differentiation of degrees of guilt. The guilt is individual and falls individually on each; but in this instance it also falls with equal weight on all. While it might be argued that the responsibility of the Editor-in-Chief was the greatest, this issue of THE CAMPUS was a joint product — joint endeavor in

which all five equally participated. To single out the Editor-in-Chief for heavier penalty than the others would be an act of supererogation. The degree of penalty for each of the five must be the same, if the measure of guilt alone is to be determinative.

On the other hand, the extenuating pleas of each man are individually made and can be given individual consideration.

1. Mr. Henry Grossman, Editor-in-Chief of THE CAMPUS at the time of the appearance of the "April Fools" issue, is a nineteen year old Upper Junior, a pre-law major. He points out that a notation of suspension on his record at the City College will make it difficult to enter a good law school and may later prejudice his admission to the bar. He also pleads that suspension from the College for the remainder of the term will delay his admission to law school for a full year. Finally, he fears that news of the suspension will be an unsupportable shock to his mother who is not in good health.

2. Mr. Ronald Salzberg, twenty, Associate Editor, plans to enter journalism. As a senior expecting to graduate this June, he feels the suspension to be particularly severe, because he doubts his own and his family's financial ability to make possible a return to College after suspension. He also believes that the suspension of her son from College will be a blow which his mother, in poor health, cannot readily sustain.

3. Mr. Eli Sadownick, nineteen, Feature Editor, is an Engineering student in his Upper Junior semester. He believes the penalty of suspension for the remainder of the term to be too severe, but believes that his parents will understand and support him in his efforts to face the future constructively.

4. Mr. Edward Kosner, eighteen, Upper Junior, News Editor, plans a career in journalism. "Terribly sorry" for what he has done, he believes the penalty is too severe and asks that it be lightened — although he knows he can sustain it if it continues to be imposed.

5. Mr. Abraham L. Habenstreit, eighteen, Associate News Editor, a lower Sophomore, is undecided as to professional future. "Overwhelmed by the whole thing," he expresses the same profound regret as do all five appellants, and pleads for them rather than for himself. He has three years ahead in which to try to make up for the semester lost.

All three — Habenstreit, Kosner and Sadownick — plead earnestly for special consideration for Grossman and Salzberg, in view of the frail health of the mothers of the latter two.

Justice would call for expulsion from the College for all five appellants. This would seriously affect the futures of all and would be a harsh blow to the mothers of at least two. At the same time, to reduce the penalty for these two while letting it stand for the others would be to extend clemency only to the two oldest and the two holding the most responsible positions on the newspaper. I therefore reject the possibility of recommending expulsion for three and merely suspension for the remaining two. I also reject the possibility of recommending expulsion of any, since it appears that parents would be unduly punished for the actions of their sons; and since the appellants are genuinely regretful.

On the other side of the argument, there are those who feel that ten days' suspension from classes together with the attendant adverse publicity is sufficient penalty for appellants. It is true that suspension for the remainder of the term will be a serious inconvenience to at least four of the five. It is also true that suspension for the remainder of the term will be a serious inconvenience to at least four of the five. It is also true that the shame and disgrace they have brought on the College and themselves call for something more than a temporary embarrassment and inconvenience with a polite reprimand. It is not vindictive to hold that gross public offense calls for a measure of atonement.

The argument that the penalty should be further mitigated because of the delicate health of the mothers of two of the appellants finds me most sympathetic. Nothing is a deeper disappointment to a parent than errors committed by a child. But

I cannot see how suspension of a son from the College for six additional weeks can be as great a shock to a mother as is the reading of the filth her son has written and published. Indeed, the fact that her son is ready to stand on his own feet and to take his medicine like a man should reassure a mother and assuage the pain of this moment. Let it also be noted that mothers and fathers are not alone in their feelings of shock and disappointment: we at the College feel the same way.

Nor do I think well of suggestions that conditions be placed on the re-entry of these students after the period of suspension. They should be welcomed back without prejudice.

Finally, they should be deprived of no privileges on their return. Particularly, they should be permitted to resume activities on THE CAMPUS. Several plan careers for which undergraduate journalistic experience is very useful. Neither justice nor humaneness can be reconciled with this suggestion which would unduly penalize their future. Moreover, THE CAMPUS has a history of four suspensions over a couple of decades — all of them concerned with "April Fools" issues. It may be that the Managing Board of this newspaper can in the future make good use of the maturity which comes rapidly to men who have made, and admitted, a mistake.

After careful review and full hearing, I find no basis on which either to increase or to lighten the penalties assessed by the Dean of Students. The suspensions of the appellants from the College for the remainder of this term stand.

In stating this decision, I feel impelled to make two general comments and a final observation which do not belong in the text of the decision but which are the context within which it is to be read.

(1) As to the relationship between a student newspaper and its faculty adviser [sic]. All 5 of the newspapers published by City College students have stood vigorously and unequivocally for full freedom of the press. Included in this stand has been an unremitting insistence that no one — faculty adviser for any other — may be in a position to censor materials in advance of publication. Occasionally an editor may depart from this practice; but the fact is that on the whole and generally, student editors tend to regard faculty participation in newspaper preparation and publication as an infringement of student rights.

As I have repeatedly made clear, no faculty adviser or any other person may attempt to act as censor over opinions and ideas properly expressed in the student press of this College. The entire weight of the student government and the student press supports this position. We have a free press and we mean to keep it free. But as long as the student editorial and managing boards take the position that the only way they can be free of censorship is to exclude faculty advisers from participation, the students by that act assume completely and inescapably an absolute responsibility for whatever they publish. Would it not

(Continued on Page 5)

Statement of Suspended Editors

The rejection of our appeal yesterday by President Gallagher presumably closes the matter of our April Fool's issue and its subsequent repercussions. However, we cannot let the thirteen-page statement issued by the President pass without comment. We feel that in addition to containing several misstatements of fact, it gives a false impression of the manner in which we presented our appeal.

The following are direct quotations from President Gallagher's statement and our comments:

1. "Neither do any of the five object to the manner in which the Dean of Students has dealt with their offense."

We have objected to the fact that we were not given any hearing until after we were suspended

for the term. Our only hearing, in the form of an appeal before the President at which four Deans were present, was both our first and our last. We do not deny either the right or necessity of immediate action on the part of Dean Brophy. However, even at this stage, the failure to hold a full inquiry resulted in the needless implication of two students. What we do maintain is that once the need for quick action had passed, no further disciplinary action should have been taken without a full hearing. The procedure which we feel ought to have been followed is clearly provided for in the Board of Higher Education by-laws which states: "Each faculty shall have the power to establish rules of conduct and regulations . . . and

it shall have power to appoint a discipline committee to which it may, at its discretion invite student cooperation or participation therein. This committee, if appointed shall try cases of misconduct and recommend penalties."

2. "After an interval of one day, one of the seven suspendees established the fact that he was innocent of any connection with the April fourth issue; . . . His colleagues were in error in saying that he had played a part in the 'April Fool's' issue."

Dean Brophy's Error

When we were summoned before Dean Brophy, he asked us to name the managing board of THE CAMPUS and to exclude those who were not responsible for the issue. We did not exclude Sam Stein because he did some work on the issue. The error was not ours but Dean Brophy's because he failed to distinguish between responsibility for any part of the issue and responsibility for those specific sections which he considered objectionable enough to merit suspension. We did not know where to draw the line of responsibility because the Dean never told us where to draw the line.

3. ". . . the fact is that on the whole and generally, student editors tend to regard faculty participation in newspaper preparation and publication as an infringement of student rights . . . as long as the student editorial and managing boards take the position that the only way they can be free of censorship is to exclude faculty advisors from participation, the students by that act assume completely and inescapably an absolute responsibility for whatever they publish."

No Denial of Guilt

This statement is contrary to fact. Observation post has always worked very closely with its faculty advisor. As far as we are concerned, in an editorial appearing appearing last semester THE CAMPUS openly welcomed the advice of the three-man committee which was set up to evaluate Mercury. It is true that we have never consulted with our faculty advisor. It is also true that at least half THE CAMPUS' managing board has never seen their faculty advisor. But we do not feel that this can be construed as professed opposition on our part to faculty participation. In fact, because we were handicapped by an advisor whose interest in the newspaper was non-existent, we turned for advice to other members of the faculty. Perhaps we are to blame for not getting a new faculty advisor, but it is easy to go along with a situation you have inherited. In any case, we have paid the price for our mistake.

4. "Would it not be possible for the editors and managers of each newspaper to take the initiative in inviting their faculty advisors to participate more actively? Many advisors to student organizations and publications now feel quite diffident about offering their services lest they be rebuffed as intruders."

Certainly it would be possible for the student organization to take the initiative. But if the President can excuse faculty advisors on the grounds that they fear being rebuffed, certainly students can plead the same excuse. It is inherent in the position of faculty advisor, we feel, that the person accepting the position will make some effort to work with his stu-

dent group. If he is rebuffed, then the responsibility is no longer his, but until he has made this effort he has not fulfilled his responsibilities.

What we object most strongly to in the President's statement is not his errors of commission, but his errors of omission. In discussing our extenuating pleas, President Gallagher leaves the impression that our appeal was based, in the main, on the effects the suspension would have on the mothers of two of us. This was only one aspect of our appeal, the rest of which were for the most part ignored by him. President Gallagher has tried to sum up our entire case in a single paragraph, the substance of which is: "They are basically good. Don't let anyone tell you anything different."

Advice Welcomed

From the very beginning we never denied our guilt or attempted to justify our actions. We realized that the April Fools issue brought nothing but shame upon the College, its faculty, its students and ourselves. Because we deeply regretted what we had done, we proceeded in good faith to try to atone for the damage done. We felt that we should be punished, but in light of the following factors, we believe the punishment meted out to us is extremely severe.

This was a first offense for every one of us. We all have had

spotless, and even outstanding, records during our entire college careers. Two of us are state scholarship students. One has been elected to "Who's Who in American Colleges and Universities" and was also the recipient of Student Government's major award for service and character. All of us have contributed countless hours of service to the College. In the light of the total picture, and considering the irreparable harm that the suspension will do to our futures, we feel that the harshness of the penalty is clearly evident.

It is difficult to remain without bitterness when one feels he has been treated unjustly. But even with our disappointment, we were gratified at the support given us by many members of the faculty, alumni and even some of the administration. But we especially wish to thank those students who offered us their sympathy and aid when we sorely needed it.

Our bitter pill is made easier to swallow with the knowledge that in such a large college where the impersonal relationship is the rule rather than the exception so many people not directly concerned with our problem displayed understanding and interest on our behalf.

HENRY GROSSMAN
ABE HABENSTREIT
ED KOSNER
ELI SADOWNICK
RONALD SALZBERG

Famous Last Words?

By Sheldon Podolsky

Famous Quotations

"Forgive them Father for they know not what they do."
—Jesus Christ, asking mercy for his tormentors while being crucified.

"I am not a vindictive man"—Daniel F. Brophy, (Student Life) before suspending five student editors for the remainder of the semester.

I have just finished reading the majority decision of the "Supreme Court" of the College. I must admit, I am moved. I am moved both by the eloquence of the writing which would do credit to the late Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes and the Hollywood ending which would make Darryl F. Zanuck blush.

In Yiddish they have a word for it. It's called chutzpah. The English translation would be gall but it doesn't do the yiddish word justice. How can one call ten pages of humiliation and then a final few paragraphs of such trite as "We shall walk together in pride—pride in ourselves, our families, our College—only gall. So we'll leave it at chutzpah.

Being one of the few editors who got off the hook in this latest purge, I can safely say that there but for the grace of God go I. So, putting myself in the precarious position of leaving myself wide open for retribution by the Administration, I will speak my mind.

If I were one of the St. Nick Five, my indignation at the "Supreme Court" document would be unending. Not so much for the final decision, but in the way it was written and in the manner in which it was pronounced.

The President forgets he is dealing with college students who have emotions, feelings and fears, and not with criminals in the courts who may have only contempt for their prosecutor.

These students respected the President and felt his decision would be a just one filled with the milk of human kindness. After all, hadn't they been subjected to two weeks of humiliation heaped upon humiliation?

At the suggestion of Dean Brophy, whom they also trusted, these frightened-kids wrote a letter of apology to President George N. Shuster of Hunter College. Of their own volition, they felt it only right to beg forgiveness for their actions from President Buell G. Gallagher. They were rebuked in their first attempt from both quarters but swallowed their pride and tried again. This time President Shuster was satisfied and Dr. Gallagher said "it was more in keeping with the type of letter I wanted than the previous one had been."

To an outsider's thinking, the old cliché "forgive them, they have suffered enough," would be in order. To an Administration determined to stop the "April Fool" madness which has plagued the College twice in the last five years, permanent suspension of the editors from the NEWSPAPER also might be in order.

But their irresponsibility as editors has also, in the eyes of the Administration, made them unfit to be college students—for one semester at least. This logic escapes me. But then logic can be twisted in a thirteen page document.

The students appealed the semester's suspension to Dr. Gallagher and then found in the prized document that they won their appeal. Unfortunately, however, the appeals got mixed up in the printing press. They discovered that they had won a fight against permanent expulsion with their impassioned pleas, not a semester's suspension.

This bit of double dealing is an outrage, particularly since Dean Brophy hasn't the authority to expel anybody without the expressed okay of the Board of Higher Education. If, as Dr. Gallagher says, expulsion was discussed, then this discussion must have taken place before the appeal. In that case, Dr. Gallagher had okayed the sentence before the appeal was even made. Are we to believe that he would countermand his own decision?

Obviously not, but the appeal had to be answered even if it was a fruitless one. So what's wrong with clearing a suspected embezzler of murder and charging him with embezzlement?

Nothing, of course, and the people will have such compassion for

BROOKLYN LAW SCHOOL


Non-Profit Educational Institution ★ Approved by American Bar Association

DAY AND EVENING
Undergraduate Classes Leading to LL.B. Degree
GRADUATE COURSES
Leading to Degrees of LL.M. and S.J.D.

New Term Commences September 24, 1956

Further information may be obtained from the Office of the Director of Admissions,

375 PEARL ST., B'KLYN 1, N.Y. Near Borough Hall
Telephone: MA 5-2200



THIS VACATION:

Take a TWA trip
to faraway places!

Only \$93 down for an 11-country tour in Europe!
Other tours to Bombay, Cairo! Take up to 20 months to pay!

What a wonderful way to see the world! Travel to European cities or exotic lands in the Middle or Far East. Study from 2 to 6 weeks at a foreign university—full college credit for those who want it. Arrange your travel-study tour to include as many cities as you wish. Best of all, TWA's "Time Pay Plan" includes hotel expenses and other costs as well as your round-trip fare on a world-proved TWA Constellation. Start planning your trip by mailing the coupon today!

Fly the finest... **FLY TWA**
TRANS WORLD AIRLINES
U.S.A. - EUROPE - AFRICA - ASIA

John H. Furbay, Ph.D., Director, TWA Air World Tours:
Dept. CN, 380 Madison Ave., New York 17, N. Y.

I am interested in: Dear Sir: Please send me information on your
Tours Abroad 1956 Travel-Study Tours:
"Time Pay Plan" Name _____
Independent travel Address _____
to _____ City _____ State _____
(countries)

THE CAMPUS

VOL. 98—No. 16

Supported by Student Fees

SAM STEIN
Acting Editor in Chief

Editorial Policy is Determined by a Majority Vote of the Managing Board

(Continued from Page 1)

stands, or whether the penalties should either be increased to expulsion or be decreased to some lesser proportions."

And, further on in his decision, President Gallagher says, "... I therefore reject the possibility of recommending expulsion for three and merely suspension for the remaining two."

Thus, the President, after hearing the arguments put forth for clemency from a semester's suspension, comes to the conclusion that he concurs with Dean Brophy's decision "to mitigate" the punishment. The salient point in the matter is that the individuals were appealing the Dean of Student's final decision, not his possible decision.

Furthermore, the by-laws as President Gallagher states "place upon the Dean of Students the responsibility to carry into action the recommendations of a disciplinary committee." They go on to say "he may also, at his discretion take immediate action in cases of open violations of college regulations... he may reprimand verbally or by publication to suspend for a period of time not exceeding the duration of the current term and deprive of specific college privileges and advantages..."

What the President fails to note is that the by-laws also provide "that the Dean of Students may also recommend dismissal from college, but that penalty may be imposed only pursuant to a majority vote of the faculty concerned."

Expulsion was not one of the choices open to Dean Brophy, thus President Gallagher's basis for his refusal to mitigate the current suspension seems to be on rather weak grounds.

President Gallagher, further, excludes a question of due process from the case, stating that the by-laws of the Board of Higher Education legally give Dean Brophy his say in the matter. As the President must know, due process does not involve following legal procedure alone, but also involves guaranteeing the accused individual the basic democratic rights of an adequate defense before a fair hearing.

The President himself states in his biennial report in reference to ridding the faculty of Communists "... We are carefully and jealously safeguarding the civil rights and academic freedom of all suspected persons, scrupulously observing due process of law..."

The editors concerned were never given a fair hearing until the final phase of the case came before President Gallagher. They were brought before Dean Brophy and accused.

They gave an explanation to this one man, who then asked who was responsible for the issue. The Dean did not state to what extent the responsibility should be fixed, thus causing the suspended editors to involve two present editors of THE CAMPUS.

Both were cleared, one after one day of suspension, the other after one week when it was ascertained to what extent they were involved in the issue. In addition, at the time of the suspension two individuals who were suspended were not present.

The machinery for a hearing was there for Dean Brophy, or President Gallagher to use. The Student Faculty Discipline Committee was set up for such procedures. This committee could then have recommended action to be taken in the case; the Administration chose not to do so.

Had a hearing been held, and had each individual concerned been brought before such a committee, then, and only then, could the due process question be omitted from the case.

If the Administration is concerned with precedent it could very easily look into the College record, or refer to the April 5, 1951 issue of *Observation Post*, in order to see that an "exploratory" committee was set up to study THE CAMPUS' April Fools edition. Dean Brophy was on this committee, and he stated that the committee was not making any final decision, but was just reviewing the case. The committee recommended suspension.

We do not deny that the suspended members are guilty as charged, but we do feel that the punishment meted out to them was too harsh and the Administration could have shown a little more concern for the individuals suspended.

An Apology

THE CAMPUS, and its suspended members, realizing that the irresponsibility and immaturity displayed in its April first edition has cast a shadow over the personal integrity and character of all persons in any way connected with the College, hereby extends its apologies and regrets to the students, faculty, alumni, President Gallagher and the Administration of the College for material that has proven, in any way, harmful, or objectionable to the name of The College and to the character and integrity of all persons connected with it.

We would like to extend similar apologies to Hunter College, President George N. Shuster, its students, faculty and alumnae.

THE CAMPUS would also like to apologize for the fact that its issue of April 4 so strangely resembled *Observation Post*. In the future we will make certain that none of our issues shall, in any way whatsoever, bear connected with

To the Editor:

Five students were suspended yesterday. They published an objectionable April Fool's issue. It was termed criminally libel. There is no question that the issue was objectionable to some people. There was no question that the college authorities had the legal power to suspend the students. Nevertheless, this is not the issue that is of concern to us, the students at The College. It is a question as to whether students should be suspended without the benefit of "due process of law." It is hard to conceive of any place within a democratic society where this ideal should be more highly exemplified than within an institution of higher learning. If educators fail to preserve and promote our democratic heritage, who is to do so?

Let us examine the procedure that was followed which resulted in the suspension of these students, and what the significance of the procedure means to the students of the College. Among the fundamental precepts of Anglo-American law are the concepts that the accused must be given the opportunity to face his accuser, to be given notice, and to have a hearing. In this case, these rights were disregarded.

Too Late to Exonerate

Several of the students were suspended without having the accusations presented to them. When facts were presented two of these students were exonerated. However, it was too late to "exonerate" them from the effects of the unfavorable publicity.

There was no opportunity in the original hearing before the Dean of Students to present an adequate defense. It is true that President Gallagher in the appeal extended all the opportunities of due process of law. Nevertheless, there is a great difference between an original decision of a dean and an appeal. Understanding the great difficulties that face a president or any individual when faced with the necessity of reversing a decision of a subordinate, particularly when that subordinate commands the high level of respect and personal integrity of the Dean of Students, can we, as thinking people, accept this belated attempt at justice as a negation of the Dean's earlier action.

Unilateral Action

The action of the Dean in suspending the students was a unilateral act. A case of this seriousness, affecting the lives of several students and their immediate families, should not have been considered by one man. A case of this nature deserves the consideration of several members of the college community constituted for such purposes. At City College we are fortunate that such a body exists, namely the Student-Faculty Discipline Committee.

Seneca once remarked, "Who hath adjudged of aught, one side unheard, just though the judgment,

Salesman

Any club interested in selling final exams on a percentage basis should leave an application in Box T-3, 151 Finley. Applications must be in by tomorrow.

Ass'n for Research & Enlightenment, Inc.

C. J. Ducasse, Ph.D. Speaks on REINCARNATION

Steinway Bldg., 113 West 57 St., N.Y.C.

Letters to the Editor

is himself unjust." The statement is as fitting now as it was when it was written.

"Basically Good"

These students were suspended for a term. However, this suspension does not only condemn them for one semester; it brands them for the remainder of their lives. President Gallagher stated, "They are basically good. Indeed, until their suspension, the students had earned the respect of both the faculty and the student body. Perhaps the educational system has failed if students with so fine a background are to be permanently scarred for a first offense.

If we, the students at the College accept such an action without protest, we are allowing a dangerous precedent to be set.

- Executive Committee of Student Government
- Jared Jussim, president
- Bill Brown, vice-president
- Louise Shacknow, secretary
- Arnold Deutchman, treasurer
- Martin Jacobs, associate vice-president
- Steve Nagler, associate vice-president
- Mike Horowitz, associate vice-president

TWO WRONGS

To the Editors:

Recently I learned about Dean Brophy's decision to expel five Campus editors for the rest of the year. I believe that even an engineer has the right to express his opinion and so I am asking *The Campus* to publish this letter.

There is no doubt that the editors in question did not act like college students, but is that a good reason for Dean Brophy to start acting childish? A joke is a joke and sometimes (as in this case) it might be carried too far. This results in an unpleasant situation which has to be handled correctly. In my opinion and in the opinion of most, if not all, of my classmates, the situation is not being handled the right way.

An old proverb says "I live and learn." One can not learn if he is not permitted to live. The editors have learned their lesson. I am positive that they realize what they have done wrong and would like to correct as much of it as possible.

The general feeling among engineering sophomores and lower juniors is that one mistake is not enough of a reason to ruin the rest of the lives of five people. This is exactly what is being done by evicting them from the College. Where can somebody who has been thrown out of an institution of higher learning go? Nobody would open a door for such a person nor would anybody trust him with a job. The editors have already been punished enough. Let them return to their classes and keep this unpleasant incident out of their permanent records.

Dean Brophy should remember that "two wrongs don't make a right."

Nikolasjs Mezins '58

Blood Drive Opens At College April 19

The Bloodmobile will be stationed at the College on April 19 and 20.

Donors will be able to give blood on April 19 in the Grand Ballroom of the Finley Center or on April 20 in Knittle Lounge.

Tau Alpha Omega has issued a challenge to all other campus fraternities in an effort to raise blood donations for the drive.

Advantage, You

You'll make more fine shots with these rackets than any in their class.

The reason? Both the Spalding KRO-BAT® and the Spalding-made Wright & Ditson DAVIS CUP® deliver the "feel" you must have for full power and better control.

Both come in every weight and grip size for better tennis, match after match.

Use Spalding-made tennis balls. They're official for more major tournaments than all other brands combined.

SPALDING

Tuesday, Pro On (Co be pos manag take their f pate n visers and p differe service intrude one of they g uly ac of pub develop be, of prefer conseq secretio to wor lect fr a bit more errors Good from is som judgen of the tive s and m a posi experi care t censor (2) eral n and o lege o four t ficult to dis kind o Colleg meani which age w ment see w some done need other firmat done. a posi of us Tak tively Thoug days l lunch ed pa assort delibe campu a few think tradit If v it, all a pr where make bring all of tual It i ship Fall five comm will h all th hard My the m last. fortun home with famil

President's Statement On Suspended Editors

(Continued from Page 2)

be possible for the editors and managers of each newspaper to take the initiative in inviting their faculty advisers to participate more actively? Many advisers to student organizations and publications now feel quite different about offering their services lest they be rebuffed as intruders. The basic question is one of mutual confidence. Unless they get together with their faculty advisers, students in charge of publications will certainly not develop that confidence. It may be, of course, that students will prefer to run the risk of the consequences of their own indiscretions; but if they are ready to work with colleagues they select from the faculty as perhaps a bit wiser and perhaps a bit more experienced, some serious errors may be avoided.

Good judgment usually comes from experience, and experience is sometimes the result of bad judgement. Most of the members of the faculty and administrative staff have made mistakes, and most of us are therefore in a position to share the fruits of experience with students who care to learn—without acting as censors.

(2) In the matter of the general morale of The City College and of the members of this college community. We are thirty-four thousand people. It is difficult to communicate ideas or to disseminate to everyone the kind of basic understanding of College traditions and of the meaning of the educated life which smaller institutions manage with ease. At this one moment of our common life, we see with clarity that there are some things which just aren't done at The City College. We need also to see that there are other kinds of things—the affirmative things—which are done. We can, if we will, build a positive tradition of which all of us are proud.

Take a minor and relatively unimportant illustration. Thoughtless students on fair days leave the South lawn after lunch hour strewn with discarded papers, lunch wrappers and assorted milk containers. No one deliberately intends to make the campus a garbage dump—but a few hundred do it without thinking. There is no positive tradition of thoughtfulness.

If we make up our minds to it, all of us together can build a pride in CCNY as a place where none does anything to make himself ashamed or to bring disgrace on others, where all of us walk in dignity and mutual respect.

It is, indeed, to such a fellowship that we might hope next Fall to welcome once again the five who have illuminated our common problem for us. They will be ready for it; but must all the rest of us also learn the hard way?

My final observation reserves the most important things to the last. Each of the appellants is fortunate in being part of a home which will surround him with affirmative affection and family understanding. The stu-

passion and sympathy among us at the College. I could not feel worse about this whole matter if these five sons of City College were my own flesh and blood. I am sure I speak for each student and member of the faculty when I say that they feel the same way.

We are confident that our present regrets (which are deeply shared by the appellants) will be transmuted into fulfilled hopes by the future actions of these five men. They are basically good. Don't let anyone tell you anything different. This is their first offense. It will be their last. It is, indeed, the end of any similar occurrence on this campus. We shall walk together in pride—pride in ourselves, our families, our College.

BUELL G. GALLAGHER
President

STUDENT SPINS PLATTERS:

Disc Jockey Loves Work

He isn't as famous as Alan Freed or Martin Block but Todd Lewis '56 has his share of glory every Saturday and Sunday as he spins the discs for station WNRC operating out of New Rochelle.

Todd, an English major, started working as a disc jockey last February and has loved every minute of it. Describing his work Todd said, "Disc jockeys are real lucky people, they get paid to listen to the best in music."

WNRC can only reach metropolitan listeners in the north Bronx but Todd has already developed quite a following. The young jockey who also student teaches at Taft High School has noticed a growing number of coeds uttering sighs of "admiration" whenever he walks down the corridor. The boys show no sign of emotion.

Real news was made a few

weeks ago when in an exclusive interview, Stan Rubin of "The Tigertown Five" announced on Todd's program that he was going

Todd's and the twenty year old disc jockey describes Rubin as one of the really great young names in jazz.

Because Todd follows a rock and roll show he tries to play softer popular music. But when rock and roll is on the agenda he turns inevitably to his favorite in modern music, Gale Storm.

Although he has managed to avoid many of the embarrassing moments that are bound to plague every radio announcer Todd recalls one particular instance when he put a record on the turntable only to find that he had set the wrong speed. Many of his listeners thought they were listening to Mickey Mouse rather than Frankie Laine.

Todd is determined to be an English teacher despite the temptation that his broadcasting position offers.



to play for Prince Ranier during the festivities in Monaco. Rubin has been a long-time buddy of

What young people are doing at General Electric

Young chemical engineer works on new ways to make silicones

Silicones are a new class of man-made chemicals with very unusual properties. Made from sand, they assume the form of rubber, grease, oil and resin. Under extremes of heat and cold, the rubber stays rubbery, the oil oily. Silicones added to fabrics make them exceptionally water-repellent. Silicone makes waxes spread easier... paints almost impervious to weather.

One of the men responsible for finding new ways to produce silicone products is 26-year-old Frank V. Summers.

Summers' Work Interesting, Important

As process engineer of the Silicone Products Department, Frank Summers first compares the results of small-scale, pilot-plant experiments with the production methods in actual use. Then, using his own knowledge of chemical-engineering principles, he designs faster, more efficient and more economical methods of producing silicone products. Frank Summers' excellent training, diversified experience and outstanding personal qualifications make him a valuable contributor to this engineering team.

25,000 College Graduates at General Electric

When Frank Summers came to General Electric in 1949, he already knew the kind of work he wanted to do. Like each of our 25,000 college-graduate employees, he was given his chance to grow and realize his full potential. For General Electric has long believed this: Whenever fresh young minds are given the freedom to make progress, everybody benefits—the individual, the company, and the country.

Educational Relations, General Electric Company, Schenectady 5, New York

FRANK V. SUMMERS joined G.E. in 1949 after receiving a B.S. in Chemical Engineering at Iowa State University the same year. He also graduated from G.E.'s Process Technology program and other specialized courses.



Progress Is Our Most Important Product

GENERAL ELECTRIC

Plan For Center Made By Board of Advisors

(Continued from Page 1)

The statement proposed that authority of SG Center management groups be divided into three areas: recommendatory jurisdiction, joint decision-making authority in cooperation with the Director of the building and sole decision-making authority. This last area of authority would apply only to the assignment of meeting and party rooms and the enforcement of regulations for the use of facilities of the building.

Stan Wissner '57, (Chmn. Manager's Agency) who proposed the plan said that the Student Managers Agency is given no responsibility whatever. "We have been constantly abused," he added, "Let us have some authority!"

Prof. Kurt E. Lowe (Geology) opposed the part of the resolution which would give students "sole decision-making authority." Professor Lowe said that the operating of the Finley Center was "still a business, and every business must have a head and the head must have the final decision." The part of the resolution above, according to Professor Lowe, would allow for no final decision, "except by the Student Governments."

The proposal to send the plan to the executive committee for

study, with the committee to report back by May 17, was passed with only one dissenting vote, that of Jared Jussim, '56, SG president.

Jussim explained, "With the term so close to an end, I felt that referring it to any committee now, would be the same as killing the plan.

The Board referred to its Budget Committee the suggested Finley Center budget for the coming year. The proposed operating cost was set at 144,500 dollars by Mr. Stalb, the Finley Center business manager.

The new budget, if accepted as is, would retain the 75 cent an hour wage rates for most student jobs in the Center. Gloria Kingsley, '57, former SG president had suggested last term that the wage rates be raised to a dollar an hour. Dean Daniel F. Brophy, chairman of the Board, said that he would investigate the matter, and propose a solution within a week.

Powell

(Continued from Page 1)

proof that segregation has returned to the Army."

He will request the House Foreign Affairs committee to call Secretary of State John Foster Dulles, he further stated, "to explain why the United States' delegation to the United Nations has repeatedly ignored a unanimously passed Congressional resolution directing the delegation to cease voting with the colonial powers."

Congressman Powell stated that he had addressed four questions to the White House and had just received a letter in reply. The answers to three of the questions were "claptrap," he said, "and the fourth and most important was ignored." As he reads it, this question was, "If the Supreme Court's decision on education is sufficient, why has over 100 million dollars gone for education in the six affected states since May 17, 1954, the date of the decision?"

In answer to a question concerning the coming election the congressman said, "The G.O.P. is a party of no civil right; the Democrats are controlled by the Eastlands and consequently are anti civil rights.

Herb Wright spoke of "youth's role in civil rights." "Young people in the south are very concerned with civil right," he stated. Mr. Wright told of having been admitted, for the first time, to the campus of the University of South Carolina, an all white school, in order to give a speech.

"Desegregation will continue because of the support the NAACP is getting in the south," he said. "Wherever legislation or action has been taken to inhibit our activity our membership has grown," he added.

"Stevenson is straddling the fence," he said in commenting on the coming elections. "He seems to have failed to grasp the significance of Montgomery," he continued. "Kefauver," he concluded, "has taken the proper stand."

Today's program for Academic Freedom Week is a debate on the topic "Who Should Be Denied the Right to Teach?" Prof. Hillman M. Bishop (Gov't.), Lewis Joughin, assistant director of the American Civil Liberties Union and Herbert Aptheker, historian and teacher of history at the Jefferson School for Social Science, will speak in 140 Finley at 3.

The Last 12 Days:

A Chronology of Events

Ed. note: The following is a chronological account of all events pertaining to the case that occurred from the time THE CAMPUS editors first learned of their suspension until their final appeal for clemency was denied yesterday by Pres. Buell G. Gallagher.

Wednesday, April 14: Henry Grossman '57, editor-in-chief of The Campus was called out of his philosophy class at 3:40 by the secretary of the Philosophy Department. Grossman was told it was an emergency and that he was to report to Dean Daniel F. Brophy at once.

Besides the Dean and Mr. Israel Levine of Public Relations, Grossman found five other editors: Abe Habenstreit '59, Ed Kosner '57, Sheldon Podolsky '56, Ronald Salzberg '56, and Morton Schwartz '57, present in the office.

It was immediately established that four of the eleven members of the managing board had nothing whatsoever to do with the issue. They were absolved.

Dean Brophy then chastized the remaining editors for the specific caption story on page one of the April Fools issue. Grossman, in his turn, explained how the story came to appear in the issue, whereupon Dean Brophy exclaimed, "The paper's suspended and you're out!"

He then advised the editors to write a letter of apology to President George N. Shuster of Hunter College, and said that after the apology was accepted they might return to speak to him.

Those indefinitely suspended at that point were Grossman, Habenstreit, Kosner, Salzberg, Schwartz, Eli Sadownick '57, and Sam Stein '57. The latter two were not present at the time.

Stein was later informed of the meeting while in school. Sadownick received the news at home.

President Gallagher first saw a copy of the objectionable issue at 4 in the afternoon. He immediately called Dean James S. Peace (Student Life) and asked him if he had seen it. Dean Peace replied in the affirmative and told the President those responsible for the publication were with Dean Brophy at that moment.

That evening the editors involved wrote a letter of apology to President Shuster.

Thursday, April 5: The letter to President Shuster was delivered by the editors early in the morning, but they were informed that President Shuster was in St. Louis and was not expected back before Friday afternoon.

Stein saw Dean Brophy that afternoon and told him that he had nothing to do with the April Fool issue. Grossman verified him and Stein was cleared of all responsibility for the issue which resulted in the immediate lifting of his suspension.

Friday, April 6: The remaining six editors wrote a letter of apology to President Gallagher of their own volition. The President was not at his office and the letter was left at his home. He did not receive it until late Friday evening.

Monday, April 9: President Gallagher discussed the problem with President Shuster that morning.

After being told by President Shuster's secretary that a reply was waiting for them, the deposed editors went down to Hunter College. Fifty-seven minutes elapsed in President Shuster's office

before the waiting editors received the news that their apology was unacceptable. They were told by President Shuster that they would have to write another letter according to lines set



Dean Daniel F. Brophy first suspended THE CAMPUS editors weeks ago.

down by him before it would be accepted.

They returned to the College and were informed by Dean Peace that Dean Brophy would see them Wednesday morning at eleven.

Dean Peace then asked them to hand in their office keys and The Campus office was locked.

That evening a second letter of apology to President Shuster was written at the home of one of the editors.

Tuesday, April 10: The second letter of apology was delivered to President Shuster that morning.

Wednesday, April 11: At 11 in the morning the six editors kept their appointment with Dean Brophy. He read them a statement from President Gallagher which said that Friday's apology was unacceptable because it contained certain omissions. A number of points were outlined around which it was suggested that the second letter of apology be centered.

Dean Brophy, upon being queried as to whether the acceptance of their apologies would mean reinstatement for the suspended editors replied, "There is no automatic action but I want you to know that I am not a vindictive man."

Later in the afternoon a second letter of apology was written by

the editors to President Gallagher and was delivered to his secretary. An appointment was made to see him on the following day. They were told they could see him at 2:30 but might be able to see him again at 10:30.

Thursday, April 12: The suspended students arrived at President Gallagher's office at 10:30 that morning and were told that the President was busy but that Dean Brophy wished to see them immediately in his office.

They hurried to the Dean's office and were told by the Dean that he was "reluctantly" suspending all of them for the remainder of the semester and that The Campus could resume publication immediately.

The Dean further pointed out they could appeal to President Gallagher.

He was then informed that Morton Schwartz, Business Manager was not responsible for the objectionable parts of the issue. It was learned that Schwartz was at the printers only to check an advertisement. After conferring with Dean Brophy, Schwartz was exonerated.

At 2 that afternoon the suspended editors met with President Gallagher who discussed the situation informally with them. He read them the by-laws of the Board of Higher Education concerning the situation under which Dean Brophy had the legal right to suspend them.

He then suggested that if they wished to make a formal appeal a hearing could be held Friday afternoon. They were given the choice of presenting their case before the President alone, or before him and a number of college leagues he wanted to be present. They chose the latter. The appeal was set for Friday afternoon.

Friday, April 13: Chosen by the President, to serve in an advisory capacity to aid him in his decision were Deans Harold Abelson (Education), William Allen (Technology), Leslie Engler (Administration) and Morton Gottschall (Liberal Arts). The meeting lasted nearly two hours.

President Gallagher announced that he would not make his decision immediately but would give the editors his ruling by Monday morning.

'903' Decision Under Study By Counsel

Mr. Milton Mollen, assistant corporation counsel for New York City, announced Friday that the Supreme Court decision which directed the reinstatement of Dr. Harry Slochower to the Brooklyn College faculty is still being studied by his office.

Section 903 provides that any city employee refusing to answer a question concerning his official conduct before an investigating body shall be relieved of his position.

The Supreme Court held that since Dr. Slochower was appearing before a federal body and that since Senator William E. Jenner (R-Ind.) had announced that his committee was not investigating education, section 903, in this case, was not automatically operative.

Dr. Slochower was dismissed from his position on the faculty under the provisions of section 903 of the New York City Charter when he refused to answer questions before the Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee in 1952, by claiming the Fifth Amendment.

Because of the stipulations in the decision concerning the federal body, the reinstatement of two College employees, Mr. Richard H. Austin and Mr. Hyman Gold, who were fired in 1953, is not a foregone conclusion.

Mr. Austin and Mr. Gold were dismissed from their positions in the Registrar's office when they refused to answer questions before the Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee, the same committee that Dr. Slochower appeared before. Their refusal was based on the Fifth Amendment and section 903 was immediately invoked.

Commenting on the decision, President Buell G. Gallagher said that he felt the High Court's 5-4 decision was correct in this particular case, and the fact that the Court was divided is an indication of intelligent disagreement over so vital an issue.

Columbia Electrolysis
HAIR REMOVED
SAFELY — PERMANENTLY
MO 2-0385 Free Consultation
419 W. 115 St.

EARN MONEY DURING YOUR SUMMER VACATION

ATTENTION: STUDENTS, TEACHERS, LIBRARIANS & SCHOOL STAFF

YOU will enjoy the drama of working in the pleasant offices of our clients in the Fashion, Advertising, Radio, TV, Travel, Bank and Finance Fields. Work full days or weeks of your choice during your Summer Vacation. We are not an employment agency and you pay no fee. You simply work for us at our clients' offices located throughout N.Y.C. Top Rates Paid.

WE have a good temporary job for you during your Vacation Time if you are neat and accurate . . . and if you can do any one of these: type about 60 WPM; or take dictation at approximately 100 WPM; or skillfully operate any business machine or switchboard.

REGISTER NOW! All it takes is one interview at your convenience. Call Mrs. CLARK and tell your friends to call, too.

Write or Call: **OFFICE TEMPORARIES INC.** • WO. 4-0038
55 W. 42nd St., N. Y. C. or 39 Gardland St., N. Y. C.

'Nine' To Face Jaspers Today; Beavers Enjoy 6 Goal Period As 23 Penalties Hinder Play

Beavers Enjoy 6 Goal Period As 23 Penalties Hinder Play

(Continued from Page 8)

The penalty total reached fifteen as the boys started the final stanza.

In the last period of play, the Adelphi stickmen tallied their final score on a shot by attackman Tom Akeson. The remainder of play was very rough as eight more penalties were called in the final period. One such call resulted in Adelphi midfielder Ray Belicka being eliminated from the game when he collected the limit of five minutes in penalties.

C.C.N.Y. (9)
 Spellman
 Nessin
 Rose
 Bose
 Fagen
 Hockernan
 Volpe
 Wiger
 Jensen
 Miller
 Stiegelfest
 Ascher
 Prince
 Silver
 Handler
 Eckstein
 Doherty
 Crossfield
 Lapidus
 Myles
 Birnbaum
 Rosenberg
 Rose
 Rosenfield

G
 ATT
 ATT
 ATT
 MDFLD
 MDFLD
 MDFLD
 MDFLD
 DEF
 DEF
 DEF
 RES
 RES
 RES
 RES
 RES
 RES
 RES
 RES
 RES
 RES

ADELPHI (3)
 Finkernagel
 Akeson
 Piper
 Ricci
 Dapolito, F.
 Belicka
 Pincus
 Dapolito, T.
 Bedell
 Modica
 Brudick
 Disanza
 Harrigan
 Richey
 Young
 Coffey
 Aronson

Sports In Brief

Phys. Ed. Majors

There will be a meeting in 115 Harris, Thursday at 12:30 for men and women interested in teaching physical and health education.

Prof. Hyman Krakower (Chairman, Hygiene) will answer questions pertaining to this field. David H. Nyquist, supervisor of the Teacher Education Division of the Hygiene Department, will provide information for applicants.

All interested students are urged to attend.

Varsity Club

The Varsity Club will hold a meeting on Thursday in Room 343 in Finley Hall to elect new officers and discuss the presentation of the Lasak Award. Sal Sorbera, president of the club, urges all members to be present. Refreshments may be served.

season against Columbia at second base and who was dropped from the lineup in the Fordham game, was back at the pivot against Brooklyn and will probably start this afternoon. Tony's hitting has not been up to par, but his fielding makes him the best man for the job.

Raoul Nacinovich will be a definite starter at shortstop. Against the Kingsmen, Nacinovich singled to bat in a run, John Ryan will be at his usual first base post. He drew three walks against Brooklyn and played good ball in the field.

The probable Starting Lineups:

CONY	MANHATTAN
Will Gramacy, lf	Jim Kiloran, cf
Tony Lucich, 2b	Bob Ronan, 1b
Andy Telling, rf	Bob Cocodrilli, 3b
John Ryan, 1b	Howard Pierson, ss
Pete Ciccone, c	Vince Donnelly, 3b
Raoul Nacinovich, ss	John Murray, lf
Ted Brimat, cf	Bob Bryson, rf
Fred Rivera, 3b	John Carey, c
Stu Weiss, p	Marty McGuire, p



Raoul Nacinovich

case that of final appeal... ent Gallagher... his secretary... made to see... day. They... see him at... and 8-5.

College Netmen Stomp Jaspers

Despite the fact that two of the team's top players were not present, the College's tennis team routed Manhattan, 8-1, at the Fleet Tennis Club, Saturday afternoon. Coach Harry Karlin was forced to substitute for Guy Ferrara, undefeated in last season's play, and Harvey Rothstein, a three year veteran. Alan Jong, playing in the number one spot, won in straight sets and Dick Woodley, started on a gamble by Karlin in the number two spot, also won his match in the singles competition.

Sweep Doubles

The Lavender won five out of the six singles matches, the only loss coming when Gil Levitt, one of the team's playing managers, lost a tough decision when he dropped the last set, 7-5. Mel Drimmer, Valt Ritter and Roy Fleischman were the other singles winners.

In the doubles events Karlin started his one and two men together and used the same rotation for the remaining four men. The Beavers swept the doubles matches, which was not necessary for the win since the Jaspers were already out of the match, 5-1, when the singles ended.

Karlin Happy

Coach Karlin was very happy over the team's showing, but felt that he would not be able to substitute when the season's big matches come along. NYU, Fordham, St. Johns and Adelphi promise to provide the Beavers with their toughest competition. Commenting on the prospects for tomorrow's match with Brooklyn at the Kingsmen's court, Karlin said that he "doesn't think we would have much trouble with Brooklyn, but you never can tell. Ferrara and Rothstein will be at Brooklyn and I'm pretty sure we won't have any trouble," Karlin concluded.

—Cook

STAFF

Temporary your Va- are neat and if you these: type make dicta- tely 100 derate any t switch-

TEACHERS SPEECH SERVICE
ORAL - INTERVIEW PREPARATION
Publications

Passing the Oral 25c
Typical Interview Questions 50c

ESD* \$1.65

For Oral and Interviews


Combination order! \$2.25

Dept. T, 853 Broadway, N. Y. Essential Speech Drills

LUCKY DROODLES! DO 'EM YOURSELF!


WHAT'S THIS

For solution see paragraph below.




LET THIS ONE SINK IN. It's titled: Lucky-smoking golfer lining up putt. He may miss the putt, but he's not missing out on better taste. Luckies give you better taste every time. That's because they're made of fine tobacco—light, mild, naturally good-tasting tobacco that's TOASTED to taste better. So follow through—join the swing to Luckies. Nothing beats better taste—and you'll say Luckies are the best-tasting cigarette you ever smoked!


DROODLES, Copyright 1953 by Roger Price



SPOOK'S LAUNDRY
Walter Osterman
U. of Florida





CHAIN LETTER
Frank Spear
U. of Mass.



WORK DONE BY KEAT WOODPECKER
Pauline Law
Barnard

"IT'S TOASTED" to taste better!



Students! **EARN \$25!**

Cut yourself in on the Lucky Doodle gold mine. We pay \$25 for all we use—and for a whole raft we don't use! Send your Doodles with descriptive titles. Include your name, address, college and class and the name and address of the dealer in your college town from whom you buy cigarettes most often. Address: Lucky Doodle, Box 67A, Mount Vernon, N. Y.

LUCKIES TASTE BETTER - Cleaner, Fresher, Smoother!

Beavers Edge Brooklyn, 6-5, In First Met League Contest

A come from behind battle marked by bad fielding and unsteady pitching saw the College's baseball team pull out a close 6-5 victory over Brooklyn College last Saturday at the Kingmen's field.

The game was the Beavers first Metropolitan League contest. Brooklyn had already lost one league game to NYU, 15-5.

Brooklyn drew first blood with a third inning run on shortstop Len Sisco's single to left. An infield out sent him to third and when Beaver catcher Vince Ciccone hurled over Troia's head on a throwback, Sisco came home.

The Lavender came back in the top half of the next inning scoring four runs, all unearned. With two out and a man on first Ciccone was safe at first on an error by the Kingmen's shortstop. Raoul Nacinovich, next up, was hit by a pitch on the shoulder. This loaded the bases. Ted Brimat, playing center field, drew a pass to force in a run and George Maginley followed with a single to center scoring Ciccone and Nacinovich.

Pitcher Pete Troia then walked to load the bases for the third time that inning. The final run of that stanza came when Kingmen pitcher Bill Werner walked Will Gramacy to force in Brimat. In collecting the four runs the Beaver line-up batted around, with five men being passed and only one hit being acquired.

CCNY		BKLYN	
abr	hr	abr	hr
Gramacy lf	4 0 0 0	Sisco ss	3 1 1 2
Lucich 2b	4 1 1 2	Grossman 3b	4 0 2 0
Tellingier rf	4 1 1 0	Edge cf	4 0 0 0
Ryan 1b	1 1 0 0	Saladino 1b	4 0 0 0
Ciccone c	5 1 2 1	Mazzola lf	5 0 2 0
Nacinovich ss	4 1 1 0	Wright rf	3 2 0 0
Brimat cf	2 1 0 0	Puglisi 2b	3 1 1 0
Maginley 3b	4 0 2 0	Giustizia c	4 1 2 0
Troia p	2 0 0 0	Werner p	3 0 0 0
DiBernardo p	1 0 0 0	Farkouh p	1 0 0 0
Totals	31 6 7 3		34 5 8 2

Left on bases: CCNY, 9; Brooklyn, 10.
Earned runs: CCNY, 2; Brooklyn, 4.
RBI's: Maginley, Giustizia, 2; Gramacy, Ryan, Ciccone, Brimat, Grossman, Edge.
Wild pitch: Troia; Passed ball: Ciccone

The home team then accounted for the next two scores. One run coming in the fourth and one in the sixth. A walk, a wild pitch and two infield out bringing in the lone fourth inning tally.

Troia's control, which had been bothering him all game, fell apart in the sixth inning. Two walks and a run scoring single brought coach John LaPlace to the mound to talk things over with his hurler. Troia, however, was allowed to stay in, and before the side had been retired, the Kingmen piled up three runs, on two hits, three walks and a sacrifice fly.

Beaver rightfielder Andy Tellingier's picture catch with the bases loaded in the sixth cost Brooklyn an even bigger inning and saved the day for the Beavers.

The last two Lavender runs which accounted for the final margin came in the seventh on two singles, a game tying sacrifice fly by John Ryan and a single to left center by Ciccone which sewed up the ball game.

Pitcher Al DiBernardo was called in to hold the Beaver margin and did just that stopping the Kingmen cold over the last three innings.

"This was a team effort," said coach LaPlace, "and I plan to use this same line-up for the Manhattan game. However I'd like to get another look at Rivera in the third base spot."

The Beavers have now won two games and lost one. Their league record standing at one win and no defeats. Troia's pitching mark is now one and one.

The Manhattan game, another league encounter, will be played today at Van Cortlandt. Starting pitcher, Stuart Weiss. Starting time at three.

—Ziegel



First baseman John Ryan has been playing good ball in the field and at bat.

Beaver Netmen Meet Brooklyn

The College's tennis team journeys today to Brooklyn College to meet the Kingmen in their third match of the season.

The Lavender with a record of two wins and no defeats do not figure to have much trouble with the Brooklyn netmen. Coach Harry Karlin's charges were victorious in last year's Kingmen encounter.

This will be Brooklyn's second match of the season. They defeated Iona for their first win on Saturday 6-3. If Brooklyn stands any chance of upsetting the Lavender it will have to stop captain Alan Jong and Guy Ferrara.

Lavender Top Adelphi; Fagen Hurt in 9-3 Game

By Donald Langer

In a rough game which saw the referees deal out a total of 23 penalties, the Beaver Lacrosse men outplayed and outslugged Adelphi's Panthers by a score of 9 to 3, Saturday in Garden City.

While the Adelphi squad sustained two minor injuries, the Beavers suffered four, one of which, in the third period, resulted in attackman Lenny Fagen being removed to Meadowbrook Hospital.

In the first quarter the Lavender attack was hindered by bad passing, and Adelphi scored twice at 5:35 and 6:25 to open the scoring. The Beavers, however, paced by attackman Merritt Nessin, who scored two goals, rebounded sufficiently to tie the score at two all at the end of the first quarter.

At 4:46 of the second quarter, midfielder Les Wiger put the Lavender ahead to stay as he took a pass from Marty Doherty and scored from about 20 yards out. The remainder of the half was characterized by play which saw the Beavers again muff several scoring opportunities.

Evidently heedful of coach Leon "Chief" Miller's halftime advice, the stickmen returned to the field in the third quarter and proceeded to stage a scoring exhibition.

After recovering a shot by Ron Bose which hit the pole of the cage, Wiger started the scoring at five minutes. On the very next play, midfielder Mike Volpe took the ball on the faceoff and raced downfield eluding three Adelphi defensemen, and beat goalie Fred Finkernagel to score at 5:08. Approximately ninety seconds later, Bose tallied the third Beaver goal of the period. Excellent teamwork by the stickmen resulted in three more goals as Harvey Lupidus, Marty Doherty, and Stan Spielman completed the Beaver scoring for the third quarter.

(Continued on Page 7)



So Good to your TASTE —
So Quick on the DRAW!

1. SUPERIOR TASTE

So good to your taste because of L&M's superior tobaccos. Richer, tastier—especially selected for filter smoking. For the flavor you want, here's the filter you need.

2. SUPERIOR FILTER

So quick on the draw! Yes, the flavor comes clean—through L&M's all white Miracle Tip. Pure white inside, pure white outside for cleaner, better smoking.

RELAX WITH **L&M** MAKE TODAY YOUR **BIG RED LETTER DAY!**

© Liggett & Myers Tobacco Co.

VOL.
'Tro
D
"Tro
direct
As
demio
soc w
tonigh
in T
torium
Mun
pus of
follow
admini
and tr
are no
the Fi
Gree
making
be disp
The
'56 wh
Carr
become
of the
conscie
being
truth
college
Base
actuall
League
its first
had a
and m
on Bro
Davi
of "M
There"
When
the pla
dom V
the en
wood,
by In
the ta
UN
To
Mem
delegat
Union
today
Freedo
in the
torium.
The
by the
Studen
the Co
Week