By TIM BROWN

Permanent Ban Invoked By Admin. Council
CP Speakers Are Barred From City U.

"Known" members of the Communist Party were outlawed as speakers at the City colleges Wednesday by the Administrative Council of the City University system. A seven-page policy statement, followed by ten pages of legal opinion, ruled that the Communists "are not entitled to speak at its campuses." The ruling was based upon the best and most carefully weighed because it represents expertness in both subject discrimination and teaching technique." It concluded in stating that "as long as existing laws are in force or until different judicial decisions change their interpretation, no unit of the City University can approve an invitation to a known member of the Communist Party of the United States." In the legal appendix to the Council's opinion, text's of law...
Dr. Ayer Is Affirmative On UN Question

Dr. A. J. Ayer (Philosophy) will advocate a change in US policy toward China in a radio debate next Wednesday. Dr. Ayer who visited the affirmative in a debate on WBAI's Town Meeting of the Arts. His partner in proposing a UN seat for Communist China will be veteran Socialist leader Norman Thomas.

A noted British philosopher who left Oxford University as well as a Labor Party member, Dr. Ayer believes that tension between the U.S. and the mainland will continue as long as we recognize and support the Formosa regime.

Dissent

(Continued from Page 3)

The penalty for failing to comply with this law is a fine of $10,000 and a five year prison sentence for each day that an individual fails to register. Registering as a member of the Communist Party under the McCarran Act, by self-determination, leaves an individual liable to prosecution under the membership clause of the Smith Act.

The Supreme Court, in its recent 5-4 decision, has ordered the Communist Party to register as a Communist-action organization, as defined by the McCarran Act. This, coupled with the provisions to submit membership lists may, as the Supreme Court has implied, force a Communist to "bear witness against himself" in violation of the Fifth Amendment.

The Communist Control Act of 1954 established a list of Communist infiltrated organizations. Labor unions falling into this category are denied representation before the National Labor Relations Board and by a 25% vote of the membership the union may call for a new election for a new collective bargaining agreement. "The Communist Party should be compelled to disband" said one of its provisions. It denied to the Party "any of the rights, privileges, and immunities of a person and property of legal bodies created under the jurisdiction of the laws of the U.S."

Funds

The Projects Committee of the Caduceus Society is sponsoring a fund drive to benefit the New York Heart Association. Collection booths will be set up at the Student Union and Buttonweiser Lounges this Thursday and Friday.

Spanish Magazine Stated at College

A new Spanish language publication has been initiated at the College "in order to give students interested in Spanish a vehicle for creative expression in that language."

According to Joel Leffert, a member of the Daily Editorial Board, the magazine is "a group which operates primarily with this law is a fine of $10,000 and a five year prison sentence for each day that an individual fails to register. Registering as a member of the Communist Party under the McCarran Act, by self-determination, leaves an individual liable to prosecution under the membership clause of the Smith Act.

The Supreme Court, in its recent 5-4 decision, has ordered the Communist Party to register as a Communist-action organization, as defined by the McCarran Act. This, coupled with the provisions to submit membership lists may, as the Supreme Court has implied, force a Communist to "bear witness against himself" in violation of the Fifth Amendment.

The Communist Control Act of 1954 established a list of Communist infiltrated organizations. Labor unions falling into this category are denied representation before the National Labor Relations Board and by a 25% vote of the membership the union may call for a new election for a new collective bargaining agreement. "The Communist Party should be compelled to disband" said one of its provisions. It denied to the Party "any of the rights, privileges, and immunities of a person and property of legal bodies created under the jurisdiction of the laws of the U.S."

The Projects Committee of the Caduceus Society is sponsoring a fund drive to benefit the New York Heart Association. Collection booths will be set up at the Student Union and Buttonweiser Lounges this Thursday and Friday.
THE USE OF THE CAMPUSES OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK BY MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY OF THE UNITED STATES. A Statement by the Administrative Council

It is axiomatic that free inquiry is possible only when it is deeply convinced that the free examination of all ideas, convictions, positions, facts and theories is its proper function. The drive for freedom is performed by faculties and the other agents of the general board of control is carried with maintaining the proper atmosphere for free inquiry.

The developers and administrators of educational programs must only determine among subjects, they must also decide upon the proper technique for examining and understanding the given educational value or purpose. There can be no assurance that a commitment to free examination and discussion relieves the professional staff of the university of its responsibility to discriminate and choose among the welter of ideas, positions, convictions, facts and theories which present themselves for consideration.

The developers and administrators of educational programs must not only determine among subjects, they must also decide upon the proper technique for examining and understanding the given educational value or purpose. There can be no assurance that a commitment to free examination and discussion relieves the professional staff of the university of its responsibility to discriminate and choose among the welter of ideas, positions, convictions, facts and theories which present themselves for consideration.

The Administrative Council believes that policies regarding the approval of speakers should be decided at each campus in terms of the best educational judgment available to each institution's own faculty and administration. We do not assume that the educational authorities in the respective colleges will arrive at identical conclusions regarding the educational value of particular speakers. The only general consideration which we must apply to all units is university concern for the following:

1. The university commitment to the independent search for truth.
2. The preservation of an atmosphere of free inquiry.
3. The university's commitment to academic integrity.
4. The necessity of all parts of the university to obey the laws of the state and nation.

A statement on the record has been made upon the following request for a review of the policy regarding invitations to speakers who are members of the Communist Party of the United States:

1. Although it is recognized that members of the Communist Party of the United States are under a form of intellectual discipline, which reduces them from engaging in the independent search for truth, it is not necessary that their appearance on a campus would compromise an institution's commitment. It may actually be a sound educational technique, if legal or administrative conditions permit students an opportunity to listen to and question a person who does not subscribe to the basic convictions of a true university, and would consequently not be acceptable as permanent members of the academic community. Such direct questions following can only be addressed to an individual:

(a) Why are you a Communist?
(b) What do you mean by political philosophy?
(c) How do you explain the butchery in Hungary?

No matter how acute an independent observer might be, he cannot evade the fact that the First Amendment, as the Supreme Court has defined it in a series of decisions, gives the right to freedom of speech and press, and the right to the free movement of persons convicts under the Smith Act.
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Letters

Dear Editor:

In the November issue of OP you printed a letter by your faculty advisor Leo Hamill in which he distorted the news, and stated dia­

tally that, "The most rebel­

ant of our students has a very fake hearing to their most vehement critics­

unbelieving" (11:4). I am low humble council member to speak up for those of us who are not the redundant of a free speech forum with the Marxism Discussion Club. I feel strongly that our editorial and then to the letter by Mervin Wach­

sai.

dent search for truth; preservation of an atmosphere of free inquiry; preservation of the university's intellectual integrity; and [upholding] the necessity of all parts of the Council merely interpreted them shallowly, permitting them to be completely obscured by questionable legal considera­

tions.

In defining the first principle, the Council equivocates on the applicability to students of the search for truth. They indicate that "it may often be a sound educational techni­

que," to invite speakers who do not agree with the funda­

mental principles of a "true university," but only "if legal considerations permit." The word "involved here must be taken as absolute if they are to be applied at all. If the Council believes that the university should be the place in which to search for­

truth, then it must not negate this belief by taking things into the law. If the Council is to stand up for its own judg­

ments against outside pressure, then it must lose the respect of those it leads.

The body asserts that the appearance of a "properly identified" member of the Communist Party would not pre­

sent a challenge to the intellectual integrity of the univer­

sity. The question of where this proper identification will come from is not answered. Perhaps at the next meeting, the Council will feel free to form an investigating committee which will provide such informa­

tion.

Up to this point in their policy declaration, the Admin­

istrative group has halted over the possibility of t the over­

ruling of the "dis appointment of their abil­

ity to parie the streets with hu­

man blood; the enslavement of his race; the elimi­

nation of his happiness; the misery, degradation, and death in his home; and other totalitarians to speak,­

was committed in the name of the Admin­

istrative Council's ruling "an

which Soviet tanks gave a; was greeted with outspoken con­

demnation by student leaders at the College yesterday,­

meant that the situation in Baskerville hall is "quite a

mess. I feel the science buildings are very important and that something should be done to improve the building."

Mr. Lefkowitz noted that money had been reallocated for Baskerville hall after the inspection of the chemistry building last year. "I have been of some help already," the candidate said.

Baron

Baron

Baron

Baron

... is guilty of a felony.

It is stated, however, that the latter laws have never been tried by the Supreme Court. A member of the Council who has been tried the ban at the next Coun­

el meeting.

The new speaker prohibition states, "define criminal an­

archy," I was told me. The new speaker provision of the act to apply to the Municipal Colleges, a "CRRC" who allows a Communist to speak on a campus would be subject to classification as one who "helps" the interests of the Party.

Also cited are the Peabind law, prohibiting the employment of teachers who are Party members or associates with Party organizations.

The latter, according to the statement, in the other sanc­

tions of a free speech ban. This is the abuse of the idea of a free speech right to the complete exclusion of anyone, for whatever reason, who seeks to speak.

I asked the hypothetical ques­

tion of what would happen if a member of the Communist Party was permitted to speak at the seven institu­

tions of what previously was higher learning. And ironically the Council leaves the interpretation of the regulatory quar­


tet up to the individual presidents, just as it did last spring in lifting to four year old Smith Act Speaker Ban.

The conclusion of the report is that no known Commu­

nists will be permitted to speak at the City University. But, the regulations extend beyond that. Only those persons who ade­

quately meet all four requirements set down in the Coun­

cil's regulations can be a member of the Communist Party without having to fear governmental retaliation.

The New York City Criminal Anarchy Act does rule on the illegality of permitting two or more persons to "as­

semble for the purpose of advocating or teaching the prin­

ciples of the Communist Party."

It then cites the Smith Act as one which "shall be a felony.

be punishable by imprisonment or by fine or both."

However, he declined to either state or commit the Admin­

istrative Council to decide, "that the Administrative Council always seems to act before election day and then insti­

tute their bans."

If student protest isn't listen­

ed to within a reasonable time­

two or three weeks—I think that some student organization should test the legality of the Admin­

istrative Council's decision by re­

citing a banned speaker to the College," she added.

Fred Brea, a member of the Student Faculty Committee and other Student Activities, asserted that "every organization should have the inherent right to invite any­

one to speak on what he wants to do."

Les Fradstein, SC representa­

tive, objected to "any infringement on our rights to listen when we want. It is unfortunate and ironic," he de­

scribed. But the Administrative Council "sometimes seem to act before election day and then insti­

tute their bans."

Fellow SC member Sam Favia announced yesterday that he will call for a one-day strike in protest of the ban at the next Coun­

el meeting.

Baron
Policy Statement

(Continued from Page 1) give personal answers to questions that can be directed only to committed individuals.

The Administrative Council, wholly apart from other considerations, is confident that the university will not be endangered if a Communist Party member is invited to a campus for valid educational purposes. If there are educational values to be gained from a complete understanding of Communism, and if one of the proper techniques for gaining that understanding is judged to be listening to and questioning of committed Communists, students will have a better learning situation on a university campus than they will in parks, on street corners, or in Communist assembly halls. On a campus of a university a student should always know that his right of question adventurously will be rigorously guaranteed.

Any visitors invited to a campus should be aware that the atmosphere of free inquiry will be jealously guarded at all times.

The Administrative Council believes that the maintenance of an atmosphere of free inquiry on a university campus will be compromised by the appearance of a Communist Party member on one of its campuses. The protection of the constitutional rights of committed Communists, members of a foreign-dominated conspiracy, is one in which competent independent observers—those organs of legislative, executive and judicial opinion who have all determined that the Communist Party is, in fact, an organization which teaches or advocates the overthrow of established government by force, violence, or any other unlawful means—is guilty of a felony. The preservation of the university's intellectual integrity.

The Administrative Council believes that the maintenance of an atmosphere of free inquiry on a university campus will be compromised by the appearance of a Communist Party member on one of its campuses. The protection of the constitutional rights of committed Communists, members of a foreign-dominated conspiracy, is one in which competent independent observers—those organs of legislative, executive and judicial opinion who have all determined that the Communist Party is, in fact, an organization which teaches or advocates the overthrow of established government by force, violence, or any other unlawful means—is guilty of a felony. The preservation of the university's intellectual integrity.

1 The Subversive Activities Control Board, the Attorney General of the United States, and the Board of Regents of the State of New York have all determined that the Communist Party is, in fact, an organization which teaches or advocates the overthrow of established government by force, violence, or any other unlawful means. Since there has been no specific law passed by either the Congress or the State Legislature, and there has been no specific court case concerning Communist speakers on college campuses, we submit some related questions to competent independent observers—a summary of the answers is appended to this memorandum. Neither the Congress nor the Supreme Court has to my knowledge words denied the right of Communist Party members to speak freely in this country. Both, however, have declared that United States citizens who are members of the Communist Party of the United States are, in fact, agents of a foreign power and that they are subject to arrest if they do not so register. In addition, the Congress has passed, and the Supreme Court has affirmed in its interpretation, the Smith Act, which declares that any person who teaches or advocates the overthrow of established government by force, violence, or any other unlawful means is guilty of a felony. The maintenance of an atmosphere of free inquiry.

The Administrative Council sees no reason to assume that the appearance of a properly identified member of the Communist Party of the United States at a college will necessarily challenge the university's intellectual integrity. We recognize, however, that the conditions of such an appearance will carefully controlled, and that the purpose of the invitation must be clearly understood to be educational.

2 The preservation of an atmosphere of free inquiry. The challenge to the institution's intellectual integrity would come from the appearance of an identified member of the Communist Party of the United States. The University, with the understanding that no invitation will be approved if any of the four principles stated in this memorandum are violated. In conclusion, the Administrative Council points out that the University Council will, upon presentation of the case by the president, give its ruling.

3 The necessity of all parts of the university to obey the laws of the state and nation.

Since there has been no specific law passed by either the Congress or the State Legislature, and there has been no specific court case concerning Communist speakers on college campuses, we submit some related questions to competent independent observers—a summary of the answers is appended to this memorandum. Neither the Congress nor the Supreme Court has to my knowledge words denied the right of Communist Party members to speak freely in this country. Both, however, have declared that United States citizens who are members of the Communist Party of the United States are, in fact, agents of a foreign power and that they are subject to arrest if they do not so register. In addition, the Congress has passed, and the Supreme Court has affirmed in its interpretation, the Smith Act, which declares that any person who teaches or advocates the overthrow of established government by force, violence, or any other unlawful means is guilty of a felony. The maintenance of an atmosphere of free inquiry.

The Administrative Council sees no reason to assume that the appearance of a properly identified member of the Communist Party of the United States at a college will necessarily challenge the university's intellectual integrity. We recognize, however, that the conditions of such an appearance will carefully controlled, and that the purpose of the invitation must be clearly understood to be educational.

4 The necessity of all parts of the university to obey the laws of the state and nation.

Since there has been no specific law passed by either the Congress or the State Legislature, and there has been no specific court case concerning Communist speakers on college campuses, we submit some related questions to competent independent observers—a summary of the answers is appended to this memorandum. Neither the Congress nor the Supreme Court has to my knowledge words denied the right of Communist Party members to speak freely in this country. Both, however, have declared that United States citizens who are members of the Communist Party of the United States are, in fact, agents of a foreign power and that they are subject to arrest if they do not so register. In addition, the Congress has passed, and the Supreme Court has affirmed in its interpretation, the Smith Act, which declares that any person who teaches or advocates the overthrow of established government by force, violence, or any other unlawful means is guilty of a felony. The maintenance of an atmosphere of free inquiry.

The Administrative Council sees no reason to assume that the appearance of a properly identified member of the Communist Party of the United States at a college will necessarily challenge the university's intellectual integrity. We recognize, however, that the conditions of such an appearance will carefully controlled, and that the purpose of the invitation must be clearly understood to be educational.

5 The preservation of the university's intellectual integrity.
Letters ...

(Continued from Page 4) He in freedom of speech, let them talk about the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, and Red China. As your editorially responsible conscience, the majority of the societies and organizations at OYHN have a great contempt for MDE and all other groups like it who are spokesmen for Mass Murder.

Now for Mr. Waech's letter. After getting SID through all of the useless verbiage we can discover that he makes the same fatal error that the editorial makes. He accuses us of failing "to heed the call to defend our right to hear whenever we please" because we refused to join MOC in co-sponsorship of the forum. But he even goes further by saying that Council has no right to "define democracy" or even consider defining it. If that is the case, then what right has Council to define free speech and academic freedom or even to discuss them? Without democracy free speech and academic freedom can't exist.

Furthermore, he asks referring to our fear that all sides of the question could not be spoken. Why would Council "want to sponsor a speaker who did not believe in free speech?" From our standpoint there is no principle? Thus in the same letter he accuse Council of failing "to heed the call to defend free speech, and then attacks Council for trying to make sure that everyone who has something to say on the question will be given a chance to express himself. In effect we are condemned for both opposing free speech and for trying to insure it. But in answer to his question the answer is YES. For when we say freedom of speech we mean for everyone. For the Fascist as well as the Communist; for the Republicans as well as the Democrat (SIC); for the fanatic as well as the non-Rusin.

To sum up, let me state that those of us who voted against co-sponsorship did not do it, because we're against free speech and academic freedom. We did it because we stand for freedom and will continue to support anti-democratic groups. We will always defend their right to speak.

Ive Duchacek 'Drafted': Lectures at War College

By LORNA HAHN

Professor Ive Duchacek (Political Science) is lecturing to high-ranking, Army officers instead of civilian students this week. The charming and highly regarded professor has been, for the past three years, an intellectual draft to the United States Armed Forces. Each fall, for approximately one week, he becomes a "guest lecturer* and seminar consultant" at institutions of higher learning for military men.

There are three of these institutions or War Colleges in existence, each one representing the Army, Navy or Air Force. They derive their name from the "Department of War." Especially selected officers, usually colonels and lieutenant-colonels, are recognized leaders in their respective fields, and are requested to come to the colleges to speak and preside over seminars in which the officers take part.

This week, Prof. Duchacek will speak before approximately 200 men at the Army War College in Pennsylvania and deliver a fifty minute lecture. After the discussion period, the men divide into small seminar groups where they debate and write papers. Prof. Duchacek will be lecturing on "the Soviet Colonial Empire in Europe and United States Foreign Policy." Confidential material will be discussed. Prof. Duchacek offered an example. Should or should not the United States support nationalism among non-Russian groups in the Soviet Union? "This is not the simple question it appears to be," he pointed out. "By supporting nationalism you can antagonize Russians in the Soviet bloc who are non-communist and yet who feel strongly toward Russia as their home country," he explained, and underscored the importance of knowing people's feelings, no matter how irrational they may appear to the outsider.

The discussions and seminars are all conducted on a very high level. "I offer conclusions but they may not be acceptable to the men." This is good, Prof. Duchacek feels, since "these people should be trained to think. They should argue and get all angry about a subject. If they did not, I would be failing in my purpose. "Military life tends to discipline their thinking," he added further. "Some intellectual type is not good for them."

Lecturing at these War Colleges has been a one-way experience for Prof. Duchacek. The men are trained, experienced officers especially selected to attend the Colleges.

---

Letters ...

(Continued from Page 4) "It's an obligation that a lot of qualified college men have to meet. If we don't... You: All right. But what can I do for the Air Force? Future You: The Air Force needs college trained men and women officers. This is caused by the rapidly advancing technology that goes with hypersonic air and space flight. Your four years of college have equipped you to handle complex jobs. You: I've been thinking about getting my Master's. Future You: You know about Officer Training School and the Air Force Academy. Then there's the navigator training program. You've probably heard about Officer Training School...where the Air Force takes certain college graduates, both men and women, and commissions them into three years of training. You: Starting salary is important. What about that? Future You: Add it up. Base pay, tax-free allowances, free medical and dental care, retirement provision, perhaps flight pay. You don't have to be an eco major to see that adds up to an attractive package. You: I've been thinking about getting my Master's. Future You: You can apply for the Air Force Institute of Technology. At no cost, and while on active duty. Officers may even win their P.D. degrees. You: Tell me more. That's the job of your local Air Force Recruiter. Or write to Officer Career Information, Dept. VR, Box 7698, Washington, D.C. If you want further information about the navigator training or Officer Training School programs...

---

Letters ...

(Continued from Page 4) "The charming and highly regarded professor has been, for the past three years, an intellectual draft to the United States Armed Forces. Each fall, for approximately one week, he becomes a "guest lecturer* and seminar consultant" at institutions of higher learning for military men.

There are three of these institutions or War Colleges in existence, each one representing the Army, Navy or Air Force. They derive their name from the "Department of War." Especially selected officers, usually colonels and lieutenant-colonels, are recognized leaders in their respective fields, and are requested to come to the colleges to speak and preside over seminars in which the officers take part.

This week, Prof. Duchacek will speak before approximately 200 men at the Army War College in Pennsylvania and deliver a fifty minute lecture. After the discussion period, the men divide into small seminar groups where they debate and write papers. Prof. Duchacek will be lecturing on "the Soviet Colonial Empire in Europe and United States Foreign Policy." Confidential material will be discussed. Prof. Duchacek offered an example. Should or should not the United States support nationalism among non-Russian groups in the Soviet Union? "This is not the simple question it appears to be," he pointed out. "By supporting nationalism you can antagonize Russians in the Soviet bloc who are non-communist and yet who feel strongly toward Russia as their home country," he explained, and underscored the importance of knowing people's feelings, no matter how irrational they may appear to the outsider.

The discussions and seminars are all conducted on a very high level. "I offer conclusions but they may not be acceptable to the men." This is good, Prof. Duchacek feels, since "these people should be trained to think. They should argue and get all angry about a subject. If they did not, I would be failing in my purpose. "Military life tends to discipline their thinking," he added further. "Some intellectual type is not good for them."

Lecturing at these War Colleges has been a one-way experience for Prof. Duchacek. The men are trained, experienced officers especially selected to attend the Colleges.

---

LETTERS

To-the Editor of the War College Guardian

We are extremely pleased to have you as a guest lecturer at the War College. We look forward to your next visit. Sincerely yours,

C. P. DeLoy, Ryal.

---

radios... (Continued from Page 4) tomorrow, or even tomorrow afternoon. The barriers are blessed with low-orrners, which insures success for future years.

In fact, Lannish, Hill, and Frank Lester, the veterans of the team, are only juniors. Zane, DeAngelis, Didyk and Offsay are sophomores.

Marcel Siera is a very promising freshman who will join the varsity ranks next fall. So a dynasty of sorts may be in the offing.
Peeling plaster is becoming a rarer sight in the College's chemistry building. Baskerville Hall, which has recently come under sharp criticism for its dilapidated condition, is gradually being repainted. The infamous qualities of the North Campus structure brought Mayor's candidate Louis J. Lefkowitz (Rep.) to the College Monday to take a first-hand look at the overcrowded, outmoded laboratories, and flaking paint. Last week, Mayor Robert F. Wagner asked the City Planning Commission to appropriate sufficient funds to the Board of Higher Education for a new science building at the College. Meanwhile, the painting job continues. The yet un-repaired walls and ceilings of Baskerville show unsightly patches of flaking plaster. Exposed pipes are also visible through the stone building and in the laboratorv.

The yet un-repaired walls and ceilings of Baskerville show unsightly patches of flaking plaster. Exposed pipes are also visible through the stone building and in the laboratories.

Dormus lecture hall on the second floor of Baskerville proudly exhibits a new coat of white paint on its ceiling and walls.

Dead Sea Scrolls Praised by Yadin

Professor Yigael Yadin, of the University of Jerusalem, said Wednesday that the Dead Sea Scrolls are providing us with sections of the Bible that earlier civilizations had censored.

Addressing an audience of 250 people in Arrow Audition, Prof. Yadin described the work that he and his father did in finding and translating the Dead Sea scrolls.

The noted archaeologist and former Chief of Staff of the Israeli Army said that the story of the scrolls began in the spring of 1947 when several herdsmen discovered the great archaeological find in a cave on the northern shore of the Dead Sea. Not realizing the great historical value of the scrolls, they sold them to antique dealers. In the fall of that year, a piece of one of the scrolls was shown to Prof. Yadin's father who "knew immediately that the scrolls were genuine" and managed to get three of them. The remaining four scrolls found their way through the hands of Middle Eastern antique dealers and finally turned up halfway across the world in New York. Later, after his father's death, someone directed Prof. Yadin's attention to an advertisement in the Wall Street Journal announcing that the four scrolls were for sale.

At first, Prof. Yadin was unable to raise the money to buy the scrolls, but the Israeli government finally purchased the documents for $250,000.

Prof. Yadin noted that "people will pay $300,000 for a first edition of Walt Whitman but they won't pay it for a first edition of the Bible." He said that the scrolls 'have brought us the history of one of the three sects of the Hebrews of which very little is known.'
Karlinmen and Kingsmen to Tangle
In Soccer Action at 2 in Lewishon

By LARRY BORTSTEIN

The College's soccer team must beat Brooklyn College tomorrow if it is to maintain its take-chance position in the Metropolitan Conference. This fact remained evident yesterday when the team took its last practice session before tomorrow’s clash with the Kingsmen at 2 PM in Lewishon Stadium.

In Brooklyn’s last game, she dropped from the ranks of the unbeaten with a 2-1 verdict, and now Brooklyn coach Carl Reily is out for Beaver blood. “The Pratt game is in the past now,” he said Wednesday. “I’m glad we won, but we’ve been concentrating on this (tomorrow’s) game for a while now, and I think we can do it again.”

Last year, Reily’s team was the greatest in Brooklyn annals. It swept to an undefeated league season, beating Pratt’s Engineers, Fordham only last, and the Beavers, 3-2, en route.

Brooklyn’s cast will be in many ways, has similar to last fall’s when the Kingsmen take the field tomorrow afternoon. But differences are big ones.

Ralph Tapino, whose last-period score became the difference in last year’s heart-throbbing encounter, has left Brooklyn to study at Iona College. But Tapino is an offensive forward shoes this fall after two years at outside right, will be back in Brooklyn’s lineup.

Gus Miliotis, who was supposed to step into Tapino’s center forward shoes this fall after two years at outside right, will also be back. Miliotis, who incurred a damaged knee in Brooklyn’s NCAA play-off with West Chester State (Pa.) last November, has never fully recovered. It is now feared he will require surgery to alleviate his “water on the knee.”

Because of the absence of these two potentially high scorers, the Kingsmen attack has no better than fair so far.

Frank Guarneri, a sophomore and the new center forward, scored the winning goal in the contest with Pratt, but has not yet learned the rudiments of his position.

It will be up to Ben Gibbs, one of the outside left position, to move Brooklyn’s offense. Gibbs, who scored a hat-decorated goal in last year’s game, is an aggressive competitor who climbs around the field and thinks nothing of committing sheer mayhem when he wants to get the ball.

“Ben’s a tireless player,” Coach Reily explained.

Other stalwarts in Brooklyn’s alignment will be seniors Fred Wallace, Alaksen Brunners, and Lou Vaughan. All are graduates of last year’s title team.

Vaughn summed up the team’s reaction to tomorrow’s encounter like this: “This is a new team. Our win over Pratt gave us new life. We’re ready for City.”

Someday are not playing a great part in Brooklyn’s destiny this year. Besides Guarneri, there is Helmut Poje at right center and left backfullback Ken Lenchintz have been largely responsible for holding the opposition in check. As matters now stand, only a victory tomorrow would keep Brooklyn’s hopes for a second straight championship alive.

Music

By ERIC SALERMAN

Eric Salerman will speak on “Music Criticism and New Music” next Thursday at 12:30 P.M. in Arrowood Auditorium.


Opology

By BOB STEINER

One out of five students at the College would rather be “dead, dead,” according to a recent Poll. The one out of five rater is as mentioned in Wednesday’s Observation. Post was incorrect.

End of Term for Fall Season

Tbell College’s 3-2 over-all, has never fully recovered. It is now feared he will require surgery to alleviate his “water on the knee.”

The Kingsmen have recurred lapsing of tottering play.

The last C. W. Post, a penally weak outing, may indicate that the Kingsmen have recurred lapsing of tottering play.

Coach Francisco Castro

Cautions for Now

Next week the Kingsmen will open their season against the College, and they are optimistic about their chances. The team has been coached by Francisco Castro, who has guided the team to a number of victories in recent years.

If you’re in your sophomore year or higher, all you need do is say “charge it” and take it right with your wallet.
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