

nic testing ar
Both of the
ment will
destructive tes

nce Foundati
Scientific Equip
en awarded
Professor D. B
gram directo
qually match
has been use
of a versatil
tester (Vibr
ne has alread
nd will be in
nce operation
use in the u
se in Enginee
well as in r

r research fac
of Engineerin
s the Magnet
laboratory bei
under the d
sor Norman
ne in this lab
ed for cesiu
ionization. T
will have a te
ng and 7 inch
d strength w
It was need
500KVA pow
e installation.

this laborato
interdepartment
concerned wi
tered in 'out

the engineering
urban environ
ment is arrang
on Water Res
ew York Metr
be held at t
3, 1965. Eng
and administr
an all day se
this topic are
eparating paper
at this meetin
Commissione
Department
thor C. For
ork City Boar
and Paul D
Department
n and Welfar
G. Coulter
ference.

il I. Beitnjan
the generatio
acles in a flu
ier Transform
has been en
y in predictin
es of wave
n bed of irreg

er A. Olsen h
th surgeons
oint Diseases
connected wi
ce such as h
n devices an
e characteriz
he backbone.
he back muscul
made at the ho
Professor Olse
ects of the di
a in structures

TECH NEWS

and question th
idates for St
Thursday, Apr
y 440.
will be serv
h Council.

T LAWYER

Simplified \$2
Simplified \$2
or \$3.

es reveal at
of every stat
abossed cover
ranteed. Uni
Pub. Co., Bo
Mex.

ELECTION ISSUE

THE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE

TECH NEWS

CITY COLLEGE OF NEW YORK

VOL. XXI — NO. 14

TUESDAY, MAY 11, 1965

STUDENT FEES

Vote For SG...

The part that student government plays needs redefinition and clarification. Many, no doubt, will take TECH NEWS task for approaching a subject that is believed to be the private domain of our South Campus antagonists. It is equally assumed that North Campus considers the affairs of SG as irrelevant. But the importance or unimportance with which the student body regards the affairs of student government should be taken into account to the fact that where credit is due credit should be given.

Student Government has proved that it can function effectively in at least two major areas: allocation of student fees and the anti-tuition campaign. Over emphasized in the past has been the quibbling pettiness to which student government has been reduced on minor issues.

The role of student government has often been underestimated. Student government affects students as a whole more directly than any other organization on campus. To the best of its ability SG attempts to understand the needs and wants of the student body and to then adopt suitable programs to meet these needs and wants.

This year will see the continuation of the anti-tuition fight, the cooperation among faculty members and student government officials in an effort to improve the existing curriculum, attempts to involve students more deeply in college life, and work in many other areas that will directly affect every student, be his major liberal arts, science, engineering, or architecture. What is most important in this election is not any particular issue. Rather it is whether the students of this college are willing to give a little of their time to assess candidate qualifications and then vote.

In a stale and apathetic atmosphere no student government can be effective. Your role as an informed student cannot be overestimated.

TECH NEWS ENDORSEMENTS AT A GLANCE

President
CARL WEITZMAN

Vice President
MARTIN KAUFFMAN

Secretary
ALLAN PERRY

Treasurer
MIKE SIGALL

Campus Affairs Vice President
RUBIN MARGULES

Education Affairs
Vice President
ELLEN TURKISH

Referendum
YES FOR NAS

VOTE
MAY 11
THROUGH
MAY 14

Elections Begin; Issues Clear

By JONATHAN SPINNER

This year's campaign has given the electorate a clear choice on the students-as-students provision of the Student Government constitution. Carl Weitzman has presented a narrow interpretation of the provision, John Zippert a broad one.

The campaign began March 3rd when Carl Weitzman formally announced his candidacy for President. Weitzman had been the leader of the opposition to Zippert's proposals all year long. His candidacy immediately set the lines for the campaign. Following the by-elections for council, Zippert announced his candidacy for President.

The Vietnam picketing in front of Cohen Library hardened the lines of the campaign. It brought Weitzman and Zippert into direct conflict over the students-as-students issue. When the council, led by Weitzman, did not allow the W. E. B. Dubois Club to have a silent vigil outside the Library, Zippert, then President of Student Government, joined the picketline. He then resigned, giving

as his reason the abrogation of his oath as President.

Other issues are involved in the campaign. Free tuition and the best method for carrying out a campaign has been debated between the two candidates. Also, educational affairs as to the science sequence and the humanities sequence have been discussed.

The campaign has been marked by a number of organizations not endorsing anyone. The most notable has been the Campus, which stated it could not support any candidate for any major position.

Voting will begin Tuesday and will continue through Friday. The victors will be announced Friday night. All students are urged to vote. Polls have been set up at Knittle Lounge in Shepard Hall and in the trophy room opposite 152 Finley.

Tech News Election Endorsements

President — Weitzman



CARL WEITZMAN

We endorse, without reservation, the candidacy of Carl Weitzman. Mr. Weitzman has been an articulate and consistent supporter of the best interests of the student body. Mr. Weitzman has done so in the face of continual efforts by John Zippert to throttle the council with ineffectual legislation. Carl Weitzman is the student probably most responsible for the defeat of the free tuition boycott and was closely associated with the idea of the highly successful free tuition rally. He authored the By-Law to prevent indoor picketing, a practice which has already cost the jobs of both engineers and liberal arts students. As a member of Technology Council he has offered constructive programs for the elimination of tuition at the School of Architecture, and curriculum revision throughout the engineering school. Only Carl Weitzman, of all the candidates running has a real grasp of the problems of the tech student.

It was Carl Weitzman who advocated a positive campaign for free tuition, rather than a negative effort. John Zippert now concedes that Weitzman was right. Had Mr. Zippert gone to council with his tuition plans beforehand we might have avoided a

fiasco. Let's avoid another. It was Carl Weitzman who first suggested amending the City Charter to provide for free tuition. This plan seems to have every chance of finally succeeding, where others have failed.

There is every reason for endorsing Carl Weitzman. There are also grave reasons, for opposing John Zippert. John Zippert has demonstrated irresponsibility from the very beginning of the year. It was at Zippert's instigation

(Continued on Page 3)

Vice-Pres. — Kauffman



MARTIN KAUFFMAN

Martin Kauffman is the most experienced person running for Student Government office. His qualifications show him to be knowledgeable in many activities both in and out of S.G. His opponent lacks both qualifications and experience. His activities outside S.G. are little better than his slight work in S.G.

Mr. Kauffman is familiar with every aspect of the school, and knows, and is known, by every group in school because of his work in every area. He is known as "Mr. Work." When some-

thing has to be accomplished, from executive decisions to making posters, the first word is "give it to Marty Kauffman — he can get it done for you."

Martin Kauffman's work as treasurer this past year indicates the type of work he can do. He brought order to the chaos prevalent in the handling of funds of former administrations. This is the kind of people S.G. needs in order to make the coming year an effective one in all fields.

The same cannot be said of his opponent. While his sincerity is not doubted, it is not enough. Executive Vice-President means first of all, as shown this past year, the ability to step into the Presidency with a full knowledge of the office so as not to break the continuity of administration. Martin Kauffman, experienced and qualified, can do it. His opponent, having only sincerity; cannot.

We could strongly endorse Martin Kauffman solely on his opponent's lack of experience and qualifications, but we strongly endorse Martin Kauffman because of his energy, spirit, honesty, integrity, and sincerity. Martin Kauffman is the only man for Executive Vice-President.

Candidate Qualifications

SG President

CARL WEITZMAN

List of Qualifications for Carl Weitzman: Student Council Member Class of '66; Chairman, Internal Affairs Committee Student Council; Chairman, Special Committee for a football team, Student Council; Chief Marshall Free Tuition Rally, Spring '65; College delegate to City University Executive Committee; Treasurer, Student Activities Board; Member of fee commission; Captain of Debate Team; College Community Dialogue, member; Chairman, Student Government Public Affairs Forum; Co-ordinated New York State Tuition Convention (Spring '64); Lock and Key Senior Honorary Leadership Fraternity; Chairman, newspaper investigation; Member of Internal Affairs Committee; Member of Campus Affairs Committee; Manager of Debate Society; Varsity debater; Member, Tech News; Delegate to Technology Council; Officer of Technology Council; Co-ordinated Public Relations Free Tuition campaign, '64; Lobbyist in Albany for Free Tuition campaign; Member, Student Activities Board; Member Speakers Bureau for Free Tuition; Chairman, Publications Federation.

JOHN ZIPPERT

Qualifications: S.G. President; S.G. Secretary; Member Student Council, 2 terms; Chairman S.C. Civil Liberties Committee; Chairman S.G. Committee to investigate S.A.B.; National Student Association co-ordinator, 2 terms; Delegate to the 16th National Student Congress, Bloomington, Ind., August 1963; Delegate to the 17th National Student Congress, Minneapolis, Minn., 1964; member Congress steering committee at the 17th National Congress; Secretary, Metropolitan New York region of USNSA; Captain Debate team; Member student-faculty committee on the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences 1963-1965; member, and secretary special committee to advise the Dean of Students on the FBI and student personnel folders; member student-faculty advisory committee on Freshman Orientation Program; founding member of the

City University co-ordinating committee; City College co-ordinator for JOIN; secretary, debating society; member S.G. School Affairs committee; member S.G. Publicity regulations agency; member S.G. Public Opinion Research Bureau; trainer, upperclass advisor program; upperclass advisor; Student for Democratic Society.

SG Vice Pres.

MARTIN KAUFFMAN

Qualifications: Student Government Treasurer, 1 year; Chairman, Newspapers Subcommittee; Chairman, Facilities and Projects; Planning Board, Room Survey Committee; Student Government Outstanding Service Award; Finley Board of Advisors, 1 term; President Gallagher's Community Dialogue Program; President Gallagher's Committee on the Enrollment Crisis; Student Government Fee Commission, 2 terms; Student Council Representative, Class of '65, 1 year; Internal Affairs Committee Chairman, proposed various by-laws changes in regard to SG reorganization and sub-committee reforms; Academic Affairs Committee: Introduced Legislation for SG suggestion boxes, and SG Orientation Program; Student-Faculty Discipline Committee, 2 terms; Co-Chairman, Public Affairs Forum, 1 term; Public Opinion and Research Bureau, 2 terms; Anti-Tuition Campaign: Co-ordinated Anti-Tuition Publicity, 2 terms; Co-Chairman in charge of sound trucks; Anti-Tuition Committee Member, 4 terms; Bus Leader, Student Government Trip to Albany; Lobbying Trip to Albany; House Plan: Editor, Contact; Lower Class Representative to the Managing Board, 1 term; House Plan Council Representative, 4 terms; Social Functions Committee, 2 terms; Student Faculty Relations Committee, 1 term; Upper Class Recruitment Subcommittee, 1 term; House Names Revisions Subcommittee, 1 term; House Advisors Subcommittee, 1 term; Carnival Publicity Committee, 1 term; Council Programming Committee, 1 term; Assistant S.A.B. Delegate, 1 term; Leadership Training and Human Relations Workshop (beginning and

advanced); Campus Staff, 4 terms; Tech News Staff, 2 terms; Student Activities Board: Chairman, Publicity Committee, 1 term; Co-Chairman Publicity Committee, 1 term; Assistant Chairman, Publicity Regulations Committee, 1 term; Lighthouse Recreational Volunteer, 2 years; Member, Young Democrats; Member, Debating Society; lected to "Who's Who Among Students in American Universities and Colleges."

BOB TRAVIS

Qualifications: Member of student council; Government and Law Society; chairman, Program Committee, secretary, president; Block Captain in last fall's anti-tuition campaign; Vice-Chancellor elect of Tau Epsilon Phi fraternity; Head of City College's delegation to Harvard's 1965 National Model General Assembly.

SG Treasurer



MIKE SIGALL

Qualifications: Associate editor, Microcosm, '65; SG councilman at large; member of fee commission; community affairs committee co-chairman; member of liason subcommittee; tutor, SG tutorial program; social research lab, narcotics program; former member of Campus news staff; former member of educational affairs committee.

MARK LANDIS


Qualifications: SG Secretary, 1 year; SG representative, 1 term; member of fee commission, 2 terms; chairman, special investigations committee of fee commission; Bus leader, fall 1964 Staten Island free tuition campaign; Bus leader, block captain, Spring 1964, Manhattan and Queens free tuition campaign; member, steering committee on President Gallagher's community dialogue program; member, committee on curriculum and enrollment; chairman, New York City student drive for the John F. Kennedy Library; member of publicity regulations committee, 4 terms; member, academic affairs committee, 1 term.

BILL REICH

Qualifications: Student Council Rep. '68; Member of Campus Affairs Committee; Chairman Public Opinion and Research Bureau; Member of Liason Committee of Fee Commission; Organizer, co-ordinator, and participant in Free Tuition Effort; Member of Committee on Textbook Investigation; Liason to Finley Planning Board; Vice-President of Remsen '68.

Seniors!!
Caps and Gowns
Room 223 Finley

SG Secretary



ALLAN PERRY

Qualifications: Member of student council; member of community affairs committee; chairman of community affairs subcommittee in charge of polls and tabulation; member of fee commission, liason subcommittee; member of Student Government book store investigation committee; member of Government and Law Society; participant in free-tuition study vigil; participant in student government leadership training program.

Campus Affairs Vice President



RUBIN MARGULES

Qualifications: member of student council, '67; member liason subcommittee fee commission; treasurer, Jafec, '67; member student-faculty cafeteria committee; campus affairs commission member; Hillel committee on Soviet Jewry member; HPA carnival committee; organized tech-SG program for increased cooperation; work as youth director outside school; public relations subcommittee; participant in social research lab; introduced Kosher sandwiches in the Snack Bar; formulated program to sell past final exams to students at the college; participant in anti-tuition drive; liason between I.F.C. and cafeteria.

LARRY YERMACK

Qualifications: Student Council Representative; Fee Commission; Chm. Facilities and Projects Committee; Chm. Free Tuition Public Relations Committee; Campus Affairs Commission; Educational Affairs Commission; Internal Affairs Committee; District Leader, Free Tuition Campaign; Marshall, Free Tuition Rally; Student Faculty Bookstore Committee; Student Faculty Cafeteria Committee; Upper Class Advisory Program; House Plan Advisory Program; Carnival Booth Committee; Chairman-Service Project; Debate Team; Announcer WCCR; Young Democrats; Chm. Membership Committee of Student Council.

Educ. Affairs Vice President



ELLEN TURKISH

Qualifications: Member educational affairs program; Participated in math 61 course evaluation; participated in planning of philosophy of science course; head of course evaluation in the biology department; member of student council; chairman of the member ship committee of student council; member of fee commission; liason committee; member NSA committee; member of publicity agency and publicity regulation committee.

HERMAN BERLINER

Qualifications: Member Student Council, two terms; Special Affairs Vice-President of the Economics Society in charge of curriculum reform; Member Educational Affairs Committee; Member Campus Affairs Committee; Chairman Internal Affairs Committee; Co-Chairman Internal Affairs Committee; Member Fee Commission; Member Special Investigating Committee of Fee Commission; Chairman Beaver Bank Committee of Fee Commission; Editor-in-chief of Survey; Editor-in-chief of Signal; Editor-in-chief of the C.C.N.Y. Journal of Economics; Member Publicity Regulations Agency; Member of Class of '65 class council; Member of Omicron Delta Epsilon-National Economics Honor Society; Block leader free tuition campaign; Liason car-free tuition campaign; Chairman Boat Ride Committee of fee commission.

Comm. Affairs Vice President

PAUL BIDERMAN

Qualifications for Paul Biderman: Student Council Representative-at-large, one term; Member of Community Affairs Committee, one term; Student Government tutor, and aid in establishing tutorial program; Participant in Hamilton Grange Association Urban Renewal Survey; Block leader, anti-tuition campaign in Staten Island; Head of Anti-tuition campaign research committee; Member, debating society; Staff reporter on CAMPUS.

Look For
The Special
Issue of Tech
News on Thursday!



TECH NEWS

Office — 335 Finley

Phone: 234-6500

EDITORIAL BOARD

CO-EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Richard Rosenfeld - Francine Cournos

BUSINESS EDITOR

Ellen Gottlieb

PHOTO EDITOR

Phil Burton

CIRCULATION MANAGER

Edward Smith

MANAGING EDITOR

Elaine Bogal

ASSOCIATE COLUMN EDITOR

Carl Weitzman

STAFF

Robert Bogursky

Enoch Lipson

Arthur Landesman

Mark Bender

Peggy Winston

Abel Snyder

Jeanette Altman

Jonathan Spinner

Mary Donowitz

Brian Conway

CANDIDATES:

Leonard Solomon

William H. Wong

Florence Stone

Faculty Advisor — Dr. John D. Hickey

Editorial Policy of TECH NEWS is determined by a majority vote of the Editorial Board.

Printed by: Boro Printing Co.
216 W. 18 Street

222

Tech News Endorsements

Affairs
President

Treasurer

TECH NEWS endorses Mike Sigall as treasurer of S.G. We feel that though his plans are less extensive than opponents. Mr. Sigall's ideas are a good deal more sound and able.

His plan for a supervisory board made up of representatives from various interest groups on campus to aid commission in its job is original and sound.

Sigall's voting record on council has been consistent. He is opposed to indoor parking and favors a positive free union campaign.

TURKISH

Mark Landis who is running opposed to Sigall has a good deal of experience in an accompanying voting record which is highly questionable. He has inexplicably changed position on making us doubt his stability and responsibility.

Sigall is familiar with the tasks of treasurer having served on fee commission and worked closely with Martin Hoffman. His ideas on getting a better rate for students in the distribution of the fifteen dollar unallocated portion of the student fee are sensible and workable.

BERLINER

In summary we feel Mike Sigall is generally qualified to assume the position of Student Government Treasurer, Campus oriented, and pragmatic in approach to the problems he will face if elected. Furthermore Sigall is seemingly the more dependable of the two candidates. Vote for Mike Sigall.

Secretary

Alan Perry is an impressive young man who knows what is expected of the Student Government Secretary. Even more important, he knows what is expected of an S.G. executive. Although just an upper freshman, as is his opponent, he is already familiar with many areas and aspects of the S.G. and the College.

Mr. Perry, when questioned about the duties of Secretary and S.G. executive, when questioned about S.G. and the College, when questioned about the Tech Council and the School of Engineering and Architecture, did not hesitate in his answers. His answers were far better than his opponent's.

Both candidates lack the usual qualifications and experience found in the higher offices such as Treasurer and Vice-President. However, Mr. Perry has shown an ability to learn about various activities, while his opponent seems to lack this ability. It is a necessary one for any person wishing to rise higher in S.G. and for anyone wanting to do a good job as Secretary.

Since the Secretaryship is considered the first step in the S.G. ladder, candidates applying for it are usually allowed some leeway in their answers and actions because they are usually freshmen. However, it should be remembered that the Secretary has a vote on the Executive Committee and therefore should be chosen carefully.

Mr. Perry has indicated that he can do the job, both as Secretary and executive. His opponent has indicated he cannot. We support and endorse Alan Perry for Secretary.

Educational Affairs Veep

In the contest for educational affairs vice-president of Student Government we strongly urge the student body to elect Miss Ellen Turkish, the candidate we feel has the most imaginative approach, the best qualifications, and the greatest general knowledge pertaining to the position she seeks. Such knowledge would facilitate her doing more for the student body than her opponent, Herman Berliner.

Miss Turkish has served the students greatly in her work on the course evaluation program, which would have been stalled sooner than it was were it not for her. The program, thanks to Miss Turkish stands a good chance of coming alive next term, and we would like to see her get a chance to work on it.

Miss Turkish presents as part of her qualifications a great deal of committee work which will give her important insight into the operations of SG's committee system. In addition, she has come with an original suggestion which we think engineers should put some serious thought into. Essentially, she would like to institute a program of work for engineers which would be part of the curriculum, but would involve working in industry in the field of their choice. This work would be done during the summer and would give technology students valuable experience which they could apply towards permanent employment after

graduation.

Also promised in Miss Turkish's campaign is a closer integration of the work of Tech Council with Student Council, which would be facilitated by Miss Turkish herself who would act as a liaison between the two bodies. Such a bolstering of Tech Council would give engineers an opportunity to work more closely with liberal arts students on many issues of interest and concern to both groups. This is not a far flung and remote possibility, but a real chance for better coordination of the north and south campus activities which has long been called for by many people.

Miss Turkish's opponent Herman Berliner, is not as well informed as she, and it is our feeling that he is not eminently qualified to take over the post of educational affairs vice-president

Campus Affairs Veep

It is our considered opinion that in the race for campus affairs vice-president of student government there can be only one choice. Rubin Margules, we feel, is the only man to consider for the post because of his past record, because of his qualifications, and because of his ability to stand up for his convictions and act upon them.

Mr. Margules, as is well known, was the single driving force behind the innovation of kosher sandwiches in the snack bar. In this effort he clearly

(Continued on Page 4)

President . . .

(Continued from Page 1)

That a motion supporting compulsory school bussing was submitted to the student council fifteen minutes before the Finley Student Center was scheduled to close, and it was Zippert who railroaded it through that cowed body. It was at Zippert's instigation that a motion, was submitted, on the 10th of March, endorsing the unconditional withdrawal of American troops from South Viet Nam. It was John Zippert who instigated, on at least three occasions, motions which advocated boycotts for various purposes. And it was John Zippert, who, as chief executive of student council, refused to enforce the no-picketing regulations at council itself had passed against the DuBois Club and the Youth Against War and Facism. Not only did he arrogate his responsibility to enforce this regulation, but he also stood on the picket line. It was only after two weeks of attack by members of even his own party that he finally resigned. We would urge you not to re-elect John Zippert.

There is a further reason for not endorsing John Zippert. John Zippert regards City College as a political party and regards himself as its ideological hard-boss. He has waved the banner of City College for his own personal political crusades. He thinks nothing of speaking at Union Square rallies and singing his position to add prominence to his causes. The editors of TECH NEWS feel that John Zippert has had his turn at the helm. One had turn does not deserve another.

One thing more that has not been heretofore brought to the attention of the student body. That, simply, is the fact that John Zippert considers himself to have two roles, one as an individual, and one as student govern-

ment president. He has not realized that everything he does as an individual reflects upon his actions as student government president, reflects upon the student council, and reflects upon the student body. It is our opinion that everything a student government president does reflects upon his office and can thus add to or detract from the prestige and image of the City College as a whole.

The interest of every tech student demands that Carl Weitzman be elected. In fact, the interest of every student of the City College demands that Carl Weitzman be elected. We therefore urge you to vote for Carl Weitzman today through Friday.

This election involves much more than student government. This election involves the jobs of every students on this campus and the reputation of City College.

Referendum

At its last meeting student government voted to put on the elections Ballot a referendum questioning our student government's ties with NSA, the National Student Association, of which SG became a member four years ago. It is our feeling that students should vote to keep City College student government a part of this national organization because we have much to gain from exchanging ideas with the representatives of student governments from other schools. By quitting this body, we would cut ourselves off from a valuable source of fresh ideas about solving some of our problems, and good ideas for better coordination of the activities of our own students. Thus, vote to keep SG a member of NSA.

The Slates

Campus First

Campus first means education first. A student comes to CCNY for an education and we pledge ourselves to work toward getting him the soundest education possible. Too long has Student — Government talked too much, and done too little for education. We believe that the student should not just be given the techniques of a discipline but rather should be given insight into the discipline, and the relation of the discipline to the world in which we live. We believe that the student should be given more flexibility in his choice of courses. We further believe that the student should be gotten more involved in the curriculum of the subject in which he majors. It is the subject major who knows whether there is course duplication; it is the major who knows whether the required courses do all necessary in preparing the student for electives; it is the major who knows whether a course imparts knowledge or drowsiness. We pledge to work for the creation in each discipline of a student committee to research the curriculum and make concrete suggestions; further we pledge to work for a Student-Faculty Committee in each area to listen, discuss, and act on these suggestions. Our fight for a better education will not end with these committees, for concrete and valuable suggestions on the improvement of the curriculum will not be allowed to die—we will fight for a better education as long and as hard as need be to achieve it.

We pledge to work to improve and continue the "Philosophy of Science"

(Continued on Page 4)

Commitment

The Commitment Slate is committed to "STUDENTS FIRST." We believe the primary components of the university are its students and faculty. If the university exists for its students and faculty to generate intellectual ferment together, then these groups must democratically control the functioning of their university. Unless the decisions made in the university are democratically determined by the participants directly affected by the outcome of those decisions — the university will remain an authoritarian institution in an ever-increasingly authoritarian society instead of becoming an agent for the chance of society. The necessity for democratic decision making by the participants in the university community is the basis of university reform and the fundamental axiom of the Commitment candidates.

University Reform is a dynamic idea. If we are to become the leaders of tomorrow (as we are so often told) we must exercise that leadership in the process of becoming, TODAY. We must participate in the decisions that shape our immediate destiny so that we will be really prepared to make the decisions that affect the destiny of our society tomorrow.

Inherent in University Reform is a rejection of unchallenging classes, an outmoded curriculum, courses irrelevant to the problem of society and the generally unsatisfactory and unsettling character of our education. We must take the initiative and improve our education for ourselves.

(Continued on Page 4)

Campus Affairs

(Continued from Page 3)

showed ability to circumvent much red tape to institute a program he believed worthwhile. The ability to handle oneself around student government, which Mr. Margules has thus demonstrated, is valuable and necessary to the position of campus affairs veep.

This, plus his views on a number of issues, such as the "students as students" clause of the SG constitution, in which he feels that SG should not consider anything unless it can carry out an effective program, make us feel that he will carry out his duties more effectively than his opponent, Larry Yermack.

Mr. Yermack, we feel, is too prone to waver on important issues as he has in the past, and his fluctuating voting record makes us wonder what will become of important campus affairs in the future. With Mr. Margules we are sure that adequate forethought will be invested in each issue concerning the campus affairs of students.

Community Affairs Veep

In the contest for community affairs vice-president of student government Paul Biderman is the only candidate running. We do not feel that we can recommend Mr. Biderman to the student body, and therefore our position must be one of no endorsement.

Mr. Biderman has presented only a weak campaign program, stating that he would improve City College's relationship with the community by attending meetings of the Hamilton Grange Association, and by carrying out various small projects of social service, in which interested students could participate. He did not go into the question of how he would influence students to join his programs.

He also stated that part of his work as community affairs vice-president would be to visit the Housing Department of New York City in an attempt to improve the condition of many of the living quarters in the neighborhood. How he plans to accomplish what violence and rent strikes could not is beyond us. He does not seem to realize that he is "dealing with city hall," which although it is a cliché by now, still remains an impregnable fortress which even the greatest of influence has not been able to budge.

Thus, we feel that Paul Biderman would not add the refreshing spirit that we think is needed in the department of community affairs, and thus we do not feel that Mr. Biderman is the man for the job.

Campus First

(Continued from Page 3)

course and work till an accredited alternative to the Science Sequence comes to fruition. But we know that no one majors in Science Sequence and we will not devote the overwhelming energies of the Ed Affairs Committee to this worthy project.

The potential creeping menace of the lecture system, and of untrained graduate students teaching required courses must be watched. The quality of education and the interest of the student must never be allowed to come second; mass production education must never be allowed to come first. Our graduate schools can attract better quality faculty, and this faculty must also be used in teaching undergraduate courses—we pledge to see that this comes to pass.

The problems of educational affairs are problems that will receive top priority from Campus First—we pledge work.

- 1) a student committee and a student faculty committee in each discipline.
- 2) a more flexible curriculum.
- 3) a re-evaluation of the Philosophy of Science course.
- 4) Careful course and teacher evaluation.
- 5) working to obtain permission to attend Curriculum and Teaching committee.
- 6) obtaining right to attend faculty council.
- 7) creation of a S-F committee on the colleges future building plans.
- 8) continued work with the S-F committee on the college of liberal arts and science, and Dr. Gallagher's Community Dialogue program.
- 9) Further Student-Faculty relations.
- 10) a re-organization of the colleges guidance and advising programs.
- 11) presentation of specific proposals concerning the Graduate Division to the administration.
- 12) working to maintain or restore the Film Institute.
- 13) creation of a S-F committee to study admission policies of the college.
- 14) a re-organization of the School of Education.
- 15) we urge that Tech Council create the position of Ed Affairs V.P. and that this person be a representative to the S.G. educational affairs committee.

CAMPUS FIRST

1) the continuation and enlargement of SG's Tutorial Project.

A) Coordination of tutorial projects with campus organizations through the Community Service Coordination Committee.

B) An investigation into the possibility of bringing neighborhood children to the school to be tutored.

2) An investigation into the possibility of the establishment of a neighborhood recreational program at the College on weekends.

3) The coordination of High School orientation programs through the Community Service Coordinating Committee.

4) Liason with neighborhood associations, church groups.

FREE HIGHER EDUCATION

We must work, not merely to preserve, but to expand, free higher education at this college, and throughout the state and nation.

1) Lobbying with the Board of Higher Education, Mayor Wagner, and budgetary agencies to expand free tuition to

A) The School of Architecture here at CCNY.

B) The evening sessions of the City Colleges.

C) All undergraduate units of the State University.

2) If there are state legislative Elections in November:

A) To conduct a more positive anti-tuition campaign.

3) If there are no such elections: to propose an amendment to the City Charter which would mandate free tuition, and to coordinate a campaign for this charter revision with the Democratic, Liberal, and, if possible, the Republican parties, as well as any interested civic organizations.

A) To work through C.U.N.Y. in order to coordinate campaigning for such a charter revision with other schools of the City University.

4) An active and continuing educational campaign on free higher education.

5) Lobbying with State Legislators, A) The invitation of small groups of legislators to the college for tours and lectures.

B) The publication of a Free Higher Education Newsletter, to inform the state legislature and interested groups of the arguments and rational for free tuition.

Commitment

(Continued from Page 3)

University Reform is a dynamic idea precisely because it demands a changed conception of the student by the stu-

dent himself. University Reform demands rejection of some old attitudes and the acceptance of new responsibilities. Thus, University Reform is a revolution in the minds and hearts of students, the Commitment Slate is not prepared to shrink from that challenge—we hope you are not either!

The Commitment Candidates have a specific program to institute their philosophy. We will fight to gain students a voice and a vote on all Faculty and administrative committees with an eventual view toward establishing democratic control of the university by the students and the faculty. Our program sees no distinction between "this campus first" and a wider commitment to press effectively for student welfare and university reform. Thus, for instance, we see SG fostering a coalition between students and organized labor to oust Governor Rockefeller and restore free tuition; we see the need for activity be college students throughout the City for the removal of the sales tax on textbooks sold in non-profit bookstores and we also see a state-wide campaign for the 18 year old vote as the way to create an effective student lobby for education in the state. We find the separation of on-campus issues from their solutions which often lie in off campus activities is an artificial one. We assert that our philosophy of democratic control of the university is the only meaningful approach toward altering this campus and through it our city and society.

EDUCATIONAL AFFAIRS

The SG Educational affairs commission must take a long range view of the future development of the College in planning its programs. The Commitment Slate supports a declaration of Educational Standing necessary to maintain quality education at the City College. Such a document should urge:

1. Maintain small recitation sections in basic courses wherever possible.

2. That the "small discussion sections accompanying large lectures when they are instituted, be limited to 20-25 students

3. Formal approval of the "precept" system which permits a professor to split his class into smaller units and require the attendance of the smaller unit at lesser intervals for the purpose of conducting seminars.

4. That a mandatory supervisory and training program be instituted for all graduate students teaching undergraduates.

5. That a professor taken from the undergraduate program for the graduate program be replaced by a professor of comparable rank and pay.

6. That professors hired exclusively for the graduate program or on research programs be required to teach one undergraduate course per year. The Commitment Slate proposes that two committees composed of faculty and students be created to uphold these standards. A Building Review committee to carefully examine proposals for new construction to insure that the building plans of the College conform to its educational plans.

The Commitment Slate urges continuance of the present SG curricula revision program with broadening in each of its areas. In Advocating quantitative changes in the number of required credits, e.g. elimination of Health Education 71, a program of urging students in mass numbers to take exemption exams in these subjects should be instituted, SG should distribute books and conduct review sessions to aid students in passing these exemption exams until these courses are eliminated through disuse. Interdisciplinary committees of student in academic honor societies should be established to develop suggestions for more integrated required courses. In advocating qualitative changes in the curriculum, i.e., the substitution of a philosophy of science course for the present science sequence, SG should continue the policy begun this term of giving the alternative as a countercurricular experiment while trying to get it accepted through



John Zippert
Presidential Candidate
On the Commitment Slate

legal channels. Lastly, SG should undertake a large course and teaching evaluation project with the results to be published and distributed by SG at registration.

CAMPUS AFFAIRS . . .

The SG Campus Affairs Commission should work to further student welfare and assist clubs and organizations on the campus. The Commitment Slate urges:

1. To foster the free exchange of ideas on campus: The SG regulation on publicity, speakers' acceptance room request forms and club chartering should be streamlined SG should designate part of the South Campus Lawn "free speech area" where students could speak at anytime on anything, without prior permission.

2. The SG should administer the Used Book Exchange and replace the presently paid managers with students all of whom would be paid a small sum.

3. The SG should seek to have improved the quality and quantity of the food in the cafeteria.

4. The SG should urge the Mayor to remove the sales tax on textbooks presently charged by our "Non-profit bookstore."

5. The SG should investigate the amount of bookstore funds spent on advertising and determine what increase, if any, it produces.

6. The SG should urge the placement of food vending machines in the vicinity of the library or in the library itself.

COMMUNITY AFFAIRS . . .

The major responsibility of the SG Community Affairs Commission is to develop among City College students cognizance and a consciousness of the problems of our community.

1. Expansion of the present SG tutorial program.

2. Institute a recreational program on Saturday at the college.

3. SG should conduct a study of integration at the City University.

4. Institution of a course in the "Problems of Harlem" combined with extensive field work.

FREE TUITION . . .

The fight for free tuition at the City University is not separable from the larger campaign for free higher education in the nation. We must begin doing the research, and lobbying to make this goal a reality.

We must contact national organizations, i.e., NEA, NSA, student government at land grant colleges throughout the country, students at schools of the State University of New York, labor unions, civic groups, high schools throughout work on a coordinated campaign.

SG should participate in a limited number of legislative districts campaigns this fall as well as in a fight to amend the New York City Charter to guarantee free tuition at the City University. However, SG should also work to amend the N.Y. State Constitution to guarantee 18-year olds the right to vote because this would provide the basis for educational reforms in the State.